

Huntsville, Alabama

305 Fountain Circle Huntsville, AL 35801

Cover Memo

Meeting Type: City Council Regular Meeting Meeting Date: 12/4/2025	File ID: TMP-6315
Department: Engineering	
Subject: Resolution authorizing the Mayor to enter into an Agreement between the City Barge Design Solutions, Inc., for Old Big Cove Road from Claudia Drive to Su 71-25-RD06.	1: Approval/Action of Huntsville, Alabama and atton Road, Phase I, Project No.
Resolution No.	
Finance Information:	
Account Number: 3080-71-00000-524008-000000000- City Cost Amount: \$660,725.00 Total Cost: \$660,725.00	
Special Circumstances:	
Grant Funded: N/A Grant Title - CFDA or granting Agency: N/A Resolution #: N/A	
Location: (list below)	
Address: N/A District: District 1 □ District 2 □ District 3 □ District 4 □ District	t 5 🗆
Additional Comments: Preliminary Engineering contract with Barge Design Services for Old Big Cove Road to Claudia Drive consisting of approximately 1.5 miles of 5-lane curb and intersection of Sutton Road.	Road Widening from Sutton gutter roadway to include the

RESOLUTION NO. 25-

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Huntsville, Alabama, that the Mayor be, and is hereby authorized, to enter into an Agreement between the City of Huntsville, Alabama and Barge Design Solutions, Inc., in the amount of SIX HUNDRED SIXTY THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$660,725.00) for Engineering Design Services for Old Big Cove Road from Claudia Drive to Sutton Road, Phase I, Project No. 71-25-RD06, in Huntsville, Alabama, on behalf of the City of Huntsville, a municipal corporation in the State of Alabama, which said Agreement is substantially in words and figures similar to that document attached hereto and identified as "Agreement between the City of Huntsville, Alabama and Barge Design Solutions, Inc., for Engineering Design Services for Old Big Cove Road from Claudia Drive to Sutton Road, Phase I, Project No. 71-25-RD06," consisting of a total of nineteen (19) pages, plus sixty-nine (69) additional pages consisting of Attachments 1-16, and the date of December 4, 2025, appearing on the margin of the first page, together with the signature of the President or President Pro Tem of the City Council, and an executed copy of said document being permanently kept on file in the Office of the City Clerk of the City of Huntsville, Alabama.

ADOPTED this the 4th day of Dece	mber, 2025.
	President of the City Council of the City of Huntsville, Alabama
APPROVED this the 4th day of Dec	cember, 2025.
	Mayor of the City of Huntsville, Alabama

AGREEMENT BETWEEN

CITY OF HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA

AND

BARGE DESIGN SOLUTIONS, INC.

FOR

ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES

FOR

OLD BIG COVE ROAD FROM CLAUDIA DRIVE TO SUTTON ROAD, PHASE I

Project ID Number 71-25-RD06 December 4, 2025

President of the City Council of the City of Huntsville, Alabama

Date: December 4, 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AGREEMENT BETWEEN

CITY OF HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA
AND
BARGE DESIGN SOLUTIONS, INC.
FOR
ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES
FOR
OLD BIG COVE ROAD FROM CLAUDIA DRIVE TO
SUTTON ROAD, PHASE I
Project ID Number 71-25-RD06

THIS AGREEMENT made as of the 4th day of December in the year 2025, by and between the CITY OF HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA (hereinafter called OWNER), and BARGE DESIGN SOLUTIONS, INC., (hereinafter called ENGINEER).

WITNESSETH, for the considerations hereinafter set forth, the parties hereto agree as follows:

ARTICLE 1 - ENGAGEMENT OF THE ENGINEER

The OWNER hereby engages the ENGINEER, and the ENGINEER hereby accepts the engagement to provide general engineering and consultation as a representative of the OWNER to include the following:

- 1.1 Professional Engineering Services for design of Old Big Cove Road from Claudia Drive to Sutton Road, Phase I, as further described in ARTICLE 2, and hereinafter called PROJECT.
- 1.2 By executing this Agreement, the ENGINEER represents to the OWNER that the ENGINEER is a professional qualified to act as the ENGINEER for the PROJECT and is licensed and certified to practice engineering by all public entities having jurisdiction over the ENGINEER and the PROJECT. The ENGINEER further represents to the OWNER that the ENGINEER will maintain all necessary licenses, certifications, permits or other authorizations necessary to act as ENGINEER for the PROJECT until the ENGINEER's remaining duties hereunder have been satisfied. The ENGINEER shall assign only qualified personnel to perform any service concerning the PROJECT. All services rendered by the ENGINEER for the PROJECT shall be performed by or under the immediate supervision of experienced and qualified professionals licensed, certified, and registered as appropriate in the State of Alabama possessing the expertise in the discipline of the service being rendered. The ENGINEER assumes full responsibility to the OWNER for the negligent acts, errors and omissions of its consultants or others employed or retained by the ENGINEER in connection with the PROJECT.
- 1.3 Execution of this Agreement by the ENGINEER constitutes a representation that the ENGINEER has become familiar with the PROJECT site and the local conditions under which the PROJECT is to be implemented. The ENGINEER agrees to provide all necessary engineering services required to professionally accomplish the ENGINEER's defined scope of services.

1.4 The engineering professionals performing work on this contract shall perform the services with the professional skill and care ordinarily provided by a competent engineering professional practicing under the same or similar circumstances and professional licenses as expeditiously as is prudent considering the ordinary professional skill and care of a competent engineering professional.

ARTICLE 2 – DESIGN SERVICES OF THE ENGINEER

- **2.1** ENGINEER shall provide for OWNER Professional Engineering Services for design of Old Big Cove Road from Claudia Drive to Sutton Road, Phase I.
- 2.2 These services shall include consultation and advice; customary civil, structural, mechanical and electrical engineering design services; and Architectural services incidental thereto, as outlined herein and further described in the SCOPE OF SERVICES, ATTACHMENT 1.
- 2.3 Upon the OWNERS authorization, the ENGINEER shall prepare construction documents consisting of drawings and specifications setting forth in detail the requirements for construction of the PROJECT. The ENGINEER warrants that such construction documents are accurate, coordinated and adequate for the construction and in conformity and comply with applicable laws, codes and regulations. Products specified for use shall be readily available unless written authorization to the contrary is given by the OWNER. Products or materials specified by the ENGINEER that are available from only one source shall be justified in writing by the ENGINEER in order to meet applicable federal, state, or local procurement or bid requirements.
- A contract for the professional services of a design professional shall require the design professional to perform the services with the professional skill and care ordinarily provided by a competent design professional practicing under the same or similar circumstances and professional licenses as expeditiously as is prudent considering the ordinary professional skill and care of a competent design professional.
- 2.5 The ENGINEER shall prepare appropriate bid alternates as necessary in order to assure that the PROJECT can be awarded within the PROJECT budget limitations.
- 2.6 The ENGINEER shall serve as the OWNER's professional representative in those portions of the PROJECT to which this Agreement applies and shall consult with and advise the OWNER during the performance of these services.
- 2.7 The ENGINEER shall incorporate into its design, and into its final work products, the requirements contained within the OWNER's engineering standards, standard specifications, and design manuals referenced in ATTACHMENT 3. The ENGINEER shall also incorporate into its design, where applicable, Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) grades, elevations and layout for each handicap ramp within the project. The requirements of the State of Alabama Department of Transportation design standards shall be reviewed for applicability and incorporated into portions of the work where joint participation between the OWNER and the State is applicable. When conflicts are noted between the OWNERS requirements and standards of others, the OWNERS standards shall take precedent. Discrepancies shall be brought to the attention of the OWNER. Deviations from OWNER's requirements shall be identified to the OWNER by the ENGINEER in writing prior to incorporating the changes.
- 2.8 The ENGINEER shall obtain all Planning Commission approvals with regard to location, character and extent, as required.

- 2.9 The ENGINEER shall obtain a Utility Project Notification Form (Attachment 10) from all affected utilities on the project by the 60% design review stage. Acceptance shall be provided as a signed original by all affected parties at the 90% design review stage.
- 2.10 The ENGINEER shall promptly correct, or have corrected, any errors, omissions, deficiencies or conflicts in the ENGINEER's work product or that of his sub-contractors/sub-consultants, without additional compensation for time, reproduction or distribution.
- 2.11 During the process of design and preparation of the construction documents, the ENGINEER shall review with the OWNER the construction documents, the estimate of probable construction cost, schedule, and other design services issues. Such review shall be, at a minimum, as outlined in ATTACHMENT 4 as 0%, 30%, 60%, and 90% completion stage. Following such reviews, the ENGINEER shall make any appropriate revisions thereto to assure compliance with the OWNER's requirements.
- 2.12 Field surveying work is required and shall be performed in accordance with "Standards of Practice for Surveying in the State of Alabama" as required by the Alabama Board of Registration for Engineering and Land Surveyors. Surveying shall include P.K. Nails or other permanent stationing markings as well as staking of right-of-way, easements and parcels of land acquired by the City of Huntsville. Property corners shall be set at the new right-of-way. Easements shall be staked as requested by the City of Huntsville. The above field work shall be performed as a minimum as needed at the time of right-of-way acquisition and one additional time near the 100% submittal stage as determined by the OWNER. The cost for these services is included in the fees for Basic Services.

Survey data shall be based on a US Public Land Survey System corner or quarter corner. Said corner or quarter corner shall be field verified by the surveyor and a state plane coordinate provided in deliverables submitted to the City of Huntsville. All survey work shall be based on the following datum's:

Coordinate System: US State Plane Zone: Alabama East 0101

Vertical Datum: The North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88)
Horizontal Datum: The North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83) National

Adjustment 2011 (NA2011)

Geoid Model: Geoid18

Units: US Survey Feet

- 2.13 The ENGINEER shall comply with the City of Huntsville Tree Ordinance and carry the requirements referenced therein with deliverables (drawings, specifications, etc.) in accordance with Section 27-57 of the City of Huntsville Code of Ordinances (Ord. No. 04-45, §13, 2-12-2004).
- 2.14 The ENGINEER shall prepare the pre-bid agenda after obtaining comments from stakeholders such as affected utilities, City of Huntsville Construction Project Engineer and Inspector(s), and other City of Huntsville departments as applicable. The ENGINEER shall moderate the pre-bid meeting, prepare meeting minutes, make clarifications, prepare addendums, and distribute to bidders.
- 2.15 A valid City of Huntsville license shall be maintained throughout the term of this contract. Additionally, the engineering firm shall be required to obtain and pay for all other federal, state or local permits, licenses, and fees which may be necessary or required in order to perform the work detailed herein.

ARTICLE 3 - CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION SERVICES OMITTED

ARTICLE 4 - ADDITIONAL SERVICES

The following services of the ENGINEER are not included in Article 2. Nevertheless, the ENGINEER shall provide such services if authorized in writing by the OWNER, and they shall be paid for by the OWNER as provided in Article 7, unless otherwise noted.

- 4.1 Making revision in drawings, specifications or other documents when such revisions are inconsistent with written direction by the OWNER previously given, are required by the enactment of revision of codes, laws or regulations subsequent to the preparation of such documents and not reasonably anticipated or are due to other causes not within the control or responsibility of the ENGINEER, either in whole or in part.
- **4.2** Preparing drawings, specifications and supporting data in connection with change orders, provided that such change orders are issued by the OWNER due to causes not within the control or responsibility of the ENGINEER, either in whole or in part.
- 4.3 Providing additional services for repair or replacement of work damaged by acts of God or other cause during construction provided that such services are required by causes not the responsibility of the ENGINEER, either in whole or in part.
- 4.4 Providing services not otherwise required herein which are made necessary solely by the default of the ENGINEER or major defects or deficiencies in the work of the ENGINEER. These services shall be provided with no increase in the contract amount and will not be compensable on an hourly basis.
- **4.5** Providing expert witness services and other services arising out of claims.
- **4.6** Provide services to stake site during construction.

ARTICLE 5 - RESPONSIBILITIES OF OWNER

The OWNER, without cost to the ENGINEER, will perform the following in a timely manner so as not to delay the services of the ENGINEER:

- 5.1 Assist ENGINEER by placing at ENGINEER's disposal all available information pertinent to the PROJECT including previous reports and any other data relative to design or construction of the PROJECT.
- Provide all criteria and full information as to OWNER's requirements for the PROJECT, including design objectives and constraints, space, capacity and performance requirements, flexibility and expendability, and any budgetary limitations. The OWNER shall also furnish copies of all design and construction standards, which OWNER will require to be included in the drawings and specifications.
- 5.3 Assist the ENGINEER as necessary in acquiring access to and making all provisions for the ENGINEER to enter upon public and private lands as required for the ENGINEER to perform the work under this agreement.
- 5.4 Designate in writing a person to act as the OWNER's representative with respect to the work to be performed under this Agreement, such person to have complete authority to transmit

instructions, receive information, interpret and define the OWNER's policies and decision with respect to materials, equipment elements and systems pertinent to the work covered by this Agreement. Examine all studies, reports, sketches, drawings, specifications, proposals and other documents presented by ENGINEER, obtain advice of an attorney, insurance counselor and other consultants as OWNER determines appropriate for such examination and render in writing decisions pertaining thereto within a reasonable time so as not to delay the services of ENGINEER.

- 5.5 When requested by the ENGINEER, the OWNER will intercede on the ENGINEER's behalf when data from, or reviewed by third parties is not on schedule through no fault of the ENGINEER
- 5.6 The OWNER's review of any documents prepared by the ENGINEER or its consultants shall be solely for the purpose of determining whether such documents are generally consistent with the OWNER's intent. No review of such documents shall relieve the ENGINEER of its responsibility for the accuracy, adequacy, fitness, suitability and coordination of its work product.

ARTICLE 6 - PERIOD OF SERVICES

6.1 The ENGINEER shall commence services pursuant to this agreement as of December 5, 2025. The final completion date for the completion of design services as outlined in Article 2 shall be June 5, 2027. The Director of Engineering has the right to grant a time extension of up to 6 months at his/her discretion.

The ENGINEER shall perform these services with reasonable diligence and expediency consistent with sound professional practices. The ENGINEER shall include in his schedule an allowance for time required for OWNER's review of submissions and for approvals of authorities having jurisdiction over the PROJECT. When approved by the OWNER, the schedule shall not be exceeded by the ENGINEER, except for cause.

If the ENGINEER becomes aware of delays due to time allowances for review and approval being exceeded, delay by the OWNER, the OWNER's consultants, or any other reason beyond the ENGINEER's control, which may result in the schedule of performance of the ENGINEER's services not being met, the ENGINEER shall promptly notify the OWNER. If the OWNER becomes aware of any delays or other causes that will affect the ENGINEER's schedule, the OWNER shall promptly notify the ENGINEER. In either event, the ENGINEER's schedule for performance of its services shall be equitably adjusted.

ARTICLE 7 - PAYMENT TO THE ENGINEER

7.1 BASIC SERVICES

The OWNER shall compensate the ENGINEER for services rendered pursuant to this Agreement, excepting those services described as Additional Services in Article 4 of this Agreement, by payment of the LUMP SUM AMOUNT OF SIX HUNDRED SIXTY THOUSAND SEVEN HUNDRED TWENTY-FIVE AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$660,725.00) for design services as described in Article 2. Additional services of the ENGINEER as described in Article 4, if any, shall be compensated on an hourly basis in accordance with Attachment 5.

7.2 REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES

The scope of work for sub-contracted services is defined in the ENGINEER's scope of services, Attachment 1. The scope includes provisions for administration expenses for subcontracted services and reimbursable direct expenses including but not limited to laboratory tests and analyses; computer services; word processing services; permit fees, bonds, telephone, printing, binding and reproduction charges; and other similar costs. Indirect costs will have administrative fee reimbursements limited to no more than 5%. Direct costs are also limited to no more than 5% reimbursement.

Reimbursable expenses shall be limited during the term of this agreement as stated in Art. 7.1 Basic Services.

7.3 EFFECTIVE DATE

This contract shall have no force or effect unless and until it is executed by the OWNER and the ENGINEER and a properly executed copy is mailed to the ENGINEER with a notice to proceed (NTP). If a NTP is not issued within sixty (60) days commencing from the last date of execution of this CONTRACT by the OWNER and the ENGINEER, then this CONTRACT shall be NULL AND VOID, the OWNER will not be obligated to any payment to the ENGINEER and the ENGINEER will not be obligated to perform any work under said CONTRACT.

PAYMENT SUMMARY

Engineering Design Services – LUMP SUM AMOUNT OF

\$660,725.00

TOTAL CONTRACT AMOUNT:

\$660,725.00

ARTICLE 8 - GENERAL PAYMENT PROCEDURE

8.1 INVOICES

- The ENGINEER shall submit monthly invoices to the Administrative Officer in the 8.1.1 Engineering Department for the basic services described under Articles 2 and 4 for the design of the PROJECT. Invoices must include the City of Huntsville project name and number, dates of services, contract amount, previous billings and current billing. Additionally, invoices for services that are not contracted for as "lump sum" in Article 4 must also be itemized and include, as a minimum, a description of each task performed, the amount of time utilized performing each task, the name(s) of personnel who performed the task and the cost for each specific task. Along with each invoice, the ENGINEER must submit a consultant progress report in the format shown in Attachment 6 hereto. No payment will be made without the consultant progress report completed and attached. Monthly progress reports shall be submitted monthly even if no request for payment is made. If services under Article 4 are included in the invoice for additional services not included under the lump sum provisions, or services billed as time and material, the classification and hours of such persons rendering the services shall be attached to the invoice.
- 8.1.2 The signature of the ENGINEER on the invoice shall constitute the ENGINEER's representation to the OWNER that the services indicated in the invoice have progressed to the level indicated, have been properly and timely performed as required herein, that the reimbursable expenses included in the invoice have been reasonably incurred, that all obligations of the ENGINEER covered by prior invoices

have been paid in full, and that, to the best of the ENGINEER's knowledge, information and informed belief, the amount requested is currently due and owing, there being no reason known to the ENGINEER the payment of any portion thereof should be withheld. Submission of the ENGINEER's invoice for final payment and reimbursement shall further constitute the ENGINEER's representation to the OWNER that, upon receipt from the OWNER of the amount invoiced, all obligations of the ENGINEER to others, including its consultants, incurred in connection with the PROJECT, have been paid in full. ENGINEER must designate on Attachment 6 — Progress Report in the appropriate space provided that such action has been completed.

8.2 TIME FOR PAYMENT

The OWNER shall make payment for services in Articles 2 and 4 within 60 days of receipt of valid invoice.

8.3 OWNER'S RIGHT TO WITHHOLD PAYMENT

In the event the OWNER becomes credibly informed that any representations of the ENGINEER, provided pursuant to Article 8.1.2, are wholly or partially inaccurate, the OWNER may withhold payment of sums then or in the future otherwise due to the ENGINEER until the inaccuracy, and the cause thereof, is corrected to the OWNER's reasonable satisfaction. Additionally, failure by the ENGINEER to supply substantiating records shall be reason to exclude related costs from the amounts which might otherwise be payable by the OWNER to the ENGINEER.

8.4 REIMBURSABLE EXPENSES

- 8.4.1 In addition to the requirements set forth in 8.1 above, invoices for reimbursable expenses shall include such documentation as the OWNER may require. Reasonable expenses are limited to the following expenses:
 - (a) Transportation outside the immediate Huntsville area (50 mile radius) approved in advance by the OWNER in writing and incurred in connection with the PROJECT; (Per Department of Treasury, Internal Revenue Service Publication 1542, Per Diem Rates, for travel within the continental United States). Refer to website: www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/p1542.pdf for more information.
 - (b) Charges for long-distance communications;
 - (c) Fees paid for securing approval of authorities having jurisdiction over the PROJECT,
 - (d) Actual costs of reproduction for items in excess of those included in the required services:
 - (e) Postage and handling charges incurred for drawings, specifications and other documents.
- 8.4.2 The ENGINEER shall set forth with particularity on its invoice the nature and cost of the expense item being billed, and attach to its invoice the written authorization, if any, required for such item; and shall bill expenses at actual cost or prevailing rate and without the addition of administrative charge, any multiple or surcharge.

8.5 W-9 TAXPAYER FORM

All ENGINEERING FIRMS are required to submit a Federal Tax Form W-9 to City of Huntsville at the time a contract is awarded. No payments of invoices can be made until this W-9 Tax Form has been properly submitted. A copy of the W-9 Tax Form can be requested from the OWNER or at the following website: www.irs.ustreas.gov/pub/irs-pdf/fw9.pdf

ARTICLE 9 - GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

9.1 GENERAL

OWNER and ENGINEER agree that the following sections and provisions shall apply to the work to be performed under this Agreement and that such provisions shall supersede any conflicting provisions of this Agreement.

9.2 SUB-CONTRACTED SPECIALIZED SERVICES

The ENGINEER may sub-contract specialized services required of the PROJECT to competent and experienced sub-consultants approved by the OWNER in writing. As a prime professional, the ENGINEER shall act as OWNER's representative for contracting, directing, and managing the services of sub-consultants. The OWNER shall have the right to reject any consultant provided that the OWNER raises a timely objection. At the time of the execution of this Agreement, the parties anticipate that the consultants listed in Attachment "7" hereto will be retained by the ENGINEER to provide services with respect to the PROJECT. Expenses payable to the ENGINEER for subcontracted services are limited to no more than 5% of the cost of the subcontracted services.

9.3 PEER REVIEW

The OWNER reserves the right to conduct, at the OWNER's expense, peer review of designs and drawings prepared by the ENGINEER and/or sub-consultant(s) for the PROJECT. The ENGINEER and sub-consultant(s) agree that knowledge and consent to review of their work by other engineers of the OWNER's choosing is hereby given in accordance with the ADMINISTRATIVE CODE (RULES AND REGULATIONS) of the Alabama State Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors, Chapter 330-X-14-.06(a) (13) effective January 2008 and as may be amended now or in the future pertaining to the Code of Ethics for review of the work of another engineer.

9.4 CLARIFICATION OF WORK

If reviewing agencies raise questions regarding the work of ENGINEER, OWNER will participate in such meetings as deemed necessary to explain and clarify this work.

9.5 IRRIGATION AND IRRIGATION CONTROLLERS

- 9.5.1 All designs shall coordinate with the City of Huntsville Landscape Management Department. The basis of design shall be Rainbird Two-Wire System. BaseLine Irrigation Solutions may be considered where existing infrastructure exists but is subject to the approval of Landscape Management.
- **9.5.2** Contractor is to locate/flag irrigation system valves and moisture sensors at project completion to facilitate Owner's ability to gather GPS coordinates for maintenance purposes.
- 9.5.3 Bubbler style irrigation systems shall be used for tree installations with two bubblers at each tree ring. Drip Irrigation systems shall not be used. 1804 Spray Heads with SAM/PRS bodies are preferred.

9.6 CHANGES

9.6.1 The OWNER may, at any time by written order, make changes within the general scope of the Agreement in the services to be provided. If such changes cause an increase or decrease in ENGINEER's cost of, or time required for performance of

any services, whether or not changed by any order, an equitable adjustment shall be made and the Agreement shall be modified in writing accordingly. Upon notification of change, ENGINEER must assert any claim of ENGINEER for adjustment in writing within 30 days from the date of receipt unless OWNER grants a further period of time.

9.6.2 If findings in any phase of this PROJECT significantly alter the scope of work for subsequent phases, or if regulations are changed resulting in a scope of work change for any phase, engineering fees set forth in Article 7 may be renegotiated by the OWNER and ENGINEER.

9.7 ENGINEER'S RECORDS

Documentation accurately reflecting services performed and the time expended by the ENGINEER and his personnel and records of reimbursable expenses shall be prepared concurrently with the performance of the services and shall be maintained by the ENGINEER. The ENGINEER shall maintain record copies of all written communications, and any memoranda of verbal communications related to the PROJECT. All such records and documentation shall be maintained for a minimum of five (5) years after the PROJECT date of final completion or for any longer period of time as may be required by law or good practice. If the ENGINEER receives notification of a dispute or of pending or commencement of litigation during this five-year period, the ENGINEER shall continue to maintain all PROJECT records until final resolution of the dispute or litigation. The ENGINEER shall make such records and documentation available to the OWNER upon notice and shall allow the authorized representative(s) of the OWNER to inspect, examine, review and copy the ENGINEER's records at the OWNER's reasonable expense.

9.8 SEAL ON DOCUMENTS

- **9.8.1** Final plans and drawings shall be marked "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION". When a firm, partnership, or corporation performs the work, <u>each drawing</u> shall be sealed and signed by the licensed engineer or engineers who were in responsible charge of the work.
- 9.8.2 When plans and drawings issued for construction were not performed by a firm, partnership, or corporation, the first sheet or title page shall be sealed, dated, and signed by the engineer who was in responsible charge. Two or more licensed professional engineers may affix their signatures and seals provided it is designated by a note under the seal the specific subject matter for which each is responsible. In addition, each drawing shall be sealed and signed by the licensee or licensees responsible for each sheet.
- 9.8.3 When plans or drawings are a site adaptation of a standard design or plan or make use of a standard drawing of others, the ENGINEER shall take measures to assure that the site adaptation, standard drawing, or plan is appropriate and suitable for the use proposed by the ENGINEER including meeting the specific site conditions, functionality, design criteria, safety considerations, etc. After taking such measures, the ENGINEER shall seal the standard drawing or plan as shown above in sections 9.8.1 and 9.8.2. The ENGINEER shall not utilize standards of others without their written consent where written consent is required or implied.
- 9.8.4 <u>Each sheet</u> of documents, specifications, and reports for engineering practice and of maps, plats, charts, and reports for land surveying practice, shall be signed, sealed, and dated by the licensed engineer or land surveyor who prepared the documents or under whose responsible charge the documents were prepared. Where more

than one sheet is bound together in one volume, including but not limited to reports and specifications, the licensee who prepared the volume, or under whose responsible charge the volume was prepared, may sign, seal, and date only the title or index sheet, provided that this sheet clearly identifies all of the other sheets comprising the bound volume, and provided that any of the other sheets which were prepared by, or under the responsible charge of, another licensee, be signed, sealed, and dated by the other licensee.

9.9 USE AND OWNERSHIP OF DOCUMENTS

All rights of ownership, copyrights, construction documents, including all drawings, specifications and other documents, electronic media, computer source code, or things prepared by or on behalf of the ENGINEER for the PROJECT are hereby transferred to the OWNER and shall be the sole property of the OWNER and are free of any retention rights of the ENGINEER. The ENGINEER hereby grants to the OWNER an unconditional right to use or to refer to, for any purpose whatsoever, the construction documents and any other documents or electronic media, computer source code prepared by or on behalf of the ENGINEER for the PROJECT, free of any copyright claims, trade secrets or other proprietary rights with respect to such documents. The ENGINEER shall be permitted to retain copies thereof for its records. The ENGINEER's documents and other work products are not intended or represented to be suitable for re-use by OWNER or others on extensions of the PROJECT or on any other PROJECT. Any re-use without specific written verification or adaptation by ENGINEER will be at OWNER's sole risk and without liability or legal exposure to ENGINEER, and OWNER shall indemnify and hold harmless ENGINEER from all claims, damages, losses and expenses including attorneys' fees arising out of, or resulting from, such reuse by the OWNER; provided however, that this agreement to indemnify and save harmless shall not apply to any reuse of documents retained by, or through, the ENGINEER.

9.10 ESTIMATE OF CONSTRUCTION COST

Since ENGINEER has no control over the construction cost of labor, materials, or equipment, or over the construction contractor(s) methods of determining prices, or over competitive bidding or market conditions, his opinion of probable PROJECT cost or construction cost provided for herein are to be made on the basis of his experience and qualifications and represent his best judgment as a design professional familiar with the construction industry; but, ENGINEER cannot and does not guarantee that proposals, bids or construction costs will not vary from opinions of probable cost prepared by him. If OWNER wishes greater assurance as to the construction cost, he will employ an independent cost estimator.

9.11 TERMINATION FOR CAUSE

This Agreement may be terminated by either party upon seven (7) days written notice to the other should such other party fail substantially to perform in accordance with its material terms through no fault of the party initiating the termination.

9.12 TERMINATION BY THE OWNER WITHOUT CAUSE

The OWNER may terminate this Agreement without cause upon seven (7) days written notice to the ENGINEER. In the event of such a termination without cause, the ENGINEER shall be compensated for all services performed prior to termination, together with Reimbursable Expenses incurred. In such event, the ENGINEER shall promptly submit to the OWNER its invoice for final payment and reimbursement which invoice shall comply with the provisions of Paragraph 8.1.

ARTICLE 10 - INDEMNITY AND INSURANCE

10.1 INSURANCE

The ENGINEER shall carry insurance of the following kinds and amounts in addition to any other forms of insurance or bonds required under the terms of the contract specifications. The ENGINEER shall procure and maintain for the duration of the job until final acceptance by the OWNER, or as later indicated, insurance against claims for injuries to persons or damages to property which may arise from or in connection with the performance of the work hereunder by the ENGINEER, his agents, representatives, employees or subcontractor.

10.2 MINIMUM SCOPE OF INSURANCE:

A. General Liability:

Insurance shall be written on an "occurrence" basis. Claims-made coverage will be accepted only on an exception basis after the OWNER's approval. The same insurance company should write General Liability Coverage and OWNERs ENGINEERs Protective Insurance.

B. Commercial General Liability

Products and Completed Operations Contractual Personal Injury Explosion, Collapse and Underground Broad Form Property Damage

C. Professional Liability:

Insurance may be written on a "claims-made" basis, providing coverage for negligent acts, errors or omissions in the performance of professional services. Coverage shall be maintained for a discovery and reporting period of no less than five (5) years after completion of the professional services and Certificates of Insurance shall be submitted to the OWNER on a yearly basis during this time frame. Coverage shall be no less comprehensive than that which is carried by at least 25% of the registered engineers or engineering firms contracting in the State of Alabama. Such coverage shall be carried on a continuous basis including prior acts coverage to cover the subject PROJECT.

D. Automobile Liability:

Business Automobile Liability providing coverage for all owned, hired and non-owned autos. Coverage for loading and unloading shall be provided under either automobile liability or general liability policy forms.

E. Workers' Compensation Insurance:

Statutory protection against bodily injury, sickness or disease or death sustained by employee in the scope of employment. Protection shall be provided by a commercial insurance company or a recognized self-insurance fund authorized before the State of Alabama Industrial Board of Relations. "Waivers of Subrogation" in favor of the OWNER shall be endorsed to Workers' Compensation Insurance.

F. Employers Liability Insurance:

Covering common law claims of injured employees made in lieu of or in addition to a worker's compensation claim.

10.3 MINIMUM LIMITS OF INSURANCE:

A. General Liability:

Commercial General Liability on an "occurrence form" for bodily injury and property damage:

\$ 2,000,000 General Aggregate Limit

\$ 2,000,000 Products - Completed Operations Aggregate

\$ 1,000,000 Personal & Advertising Injury

\$1,000,000 Each Occurrence

B. Professional Liability:

Insurance may be made on a "claims-made" basis:

\$ 500,000 Per Claim - Land Surveyors \$ 1,000,000 Per Claim - Other Professionals

C. Automobile Liability:

\$ 1,000,000 Combined Single Limit per accident for bodily injury and property damage.

D. Workers' Compensation:

As required by the State of Alabama Statute. The coverage should include waiver of subrogation.

E. Employers Liability:

\$ 1,000,000 Bodily Injury by Accident or Disease \$ 1,000,000 Policy Limit by Disease

10.4 OTHER INSURANCE PROVISIONS:

The OWNER is hereby authorized to adjust the requirements set forth in this document in the event it is determined that such adjustment is in the OWNER's best interest. If the insurance requirements are not adjusted by the OWNER prior to the OWNER's release of specifications with regard to the PROJECT in question, then the minimum limits shall apply. The City of Huntsville/OWNER shall be named on the policies of general liability and automobile insurance and on the certificate of insurance as an Additional Insured. Additional Insured status on the Commercial General Liability policy shall be through ISO Additional Endorsement CG 20 10 11 85 or equivalent and coverage shall be afforded on a primary basis. Liability is not necessarily limited to the minimum amounts of insurance required herein, especially where other insurance coverage is available.

The policies are to contain, or be endorsed to contain, the following provisions:

A. All Coverage:

The ENGINEER is responsible to pay all deductibles. Each insurance policy required by this clause shall be endorsed to state that coverage shall not be suspended, voided, canceled, non-renewal or materially changed by either party, reduced in coverage or in limits except after thirty (30) days' prior written notice has been given to the OWNER. Cancellation of coverage for non-payment of premium will require ten (10) days written notice to the OWNER.

10.5 ACCEPTABILITY OF INSURERS:

Insurance is to be placed with insurers authorized by the State of Alabama with an A. M. Best rating of A-V or better.

10.6 VERIFICATION OF COVERAGE:

The OWNER shall be indicated as a Certificate Holder and the ENGINEER shall furnish the OWNER with Certificates of Insurance reflecting the coverage required by this document. The A. M. Best rating and deductibles, if applicable, shall be indicated on the Certificate of Insurance for each insurance policy. The certificates for each insurance policy are to be signed by a person authorized by that insurer to bind coverage on its behalf. Certificates signed using digital signatures will not be accepted unless accompanied by a written statement from the insurance/surety company indicating that their electronic signature is intended as their signature. All certificates are to be received and approved by the OWNER before work commences. The OWNER reserves the right to require complete, certified copies of all required insurance policies at any time.

10.7 CONSULTANTS AND/OR SUBCONTRACTORS WORKING FOR THE ENGINEER:

The ENGINEER shall furnish separate certificates and/or endorsements for each subcontractor and/or consultant showing insurance of the same type or types and to the extent of the coverage set forth in this Article 10.

10.8 HOLD HARMLESS AGREEMENT:

A. Professional Liability Exposures:

The ENGINEER, to the fullest extent permitted by law, shall indemnify and hold harmless the OWNER, its elected and appointed officials, employees, agents, and representatives against all claims, damages, losses, judgments and expenses, including, but not limited to, attorney's fees, arising out of or resulting from the performance of the work, caused by any negligent act, error or omission of the ENGINEER or any of their consultants, or anyone directly or indirectly employed by them or anyone for whose acts they are legally liable. Such obligation should not be construed to negate, abridge, or otherwise reduce any other right or obligation of indemnity, which would otherwise exist as to any party or person, described in this paragraph.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, the ENGINEER shall defend, protect, indemnify, and hold harmless the OWNER, its elected and appointed officials, officers, directors, employees, agents, and representatives from and against any and all liability, claims, demands, damages, loss, costs, fees and expenses (including actual fees and expenses of attorneys, expert witnesses, and other consultants) for infringement of patent rights, copyrights, or other intellectual

property rights, except with respect to designs, processes or products of a particular manufacturer expressly required by the OWNER in writing. If the ENGINEER has reason to believe the use of a required design, process or product is an infringement of a patent, the ENGINEER shall be responsible for such loss unless such information is promptly given to the OWNER

B. Other Than Professional Liability:

The ENGINEER agrees, to the fullest extent permitted by law, to defend, protect, indemnify and hold harmless the OWNER, its elected and appointed officials, officers, directors, employees, agents, and representatives from and against any and all liability, claims, demands, damages, loss, judgments, costs, fees, and expenses (including actual fees and expenses of attorneys, expert witnesses, and other consultants) attributable to personal injury, including bodily injury sickness, disease or death, or to injury to or destruction of tangible property, including loss of use resulting therefrom actually or allegedly caused by the ENGINEER or the ENGINEER's consultants, subcontractors, or suppliers, including, without limitation, any breach of contract or any negligent acts, errors, or omissions in the performance of the professional services provided pursuant to or as a result of this Agreement. Neither, the OWNER nor the ENGINEER shall be obligated to indemnify the other party in any manner whatsoever for the other parties own negligence.

ARTICLE 11- MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

11.1 GOVERNING LAW

This Agreement shall be governed by the law of the State of Alabama.

11.2 INTENT AND INTERPRETATION

- 11.2.1 The intent of this contract is to require complete, correct and timely execution of the work. Any work that may be required, implied or inferred by the contract documents, or any one or more of them, as necessary to produce the intended result shall be provided by the ENGINEER.
- 11.2.2 This contract is intended to be an integral whole and shall be interpreted as internally consistent. What is required by any one contract document shall be considered as required by the contract.
- 11.2.3 When a word, term or phrase is used in this contract, it shall be interpreted or construed, first, as defined herein; second, if not defined, according to its generally accepted meaning in the engineering industry; and third, if there is no generally accepted meaning in the engineering industry, according to its common and customary usage.
- **11.2.4** The words "include", "includes", or "including", as used in this contract, shall be deemed to be followed by the phrase, "without limitation".
- 11.2.5 The specification herein of any act, failure, refusal, omission, event, occurrence or condition as constituting a material breach of this contract shall not imply that any other, non-specified act, failure, refusal, omission, event, occurrence or condition shall be deemed not to constitute a material breach of this contract.

11.2.6 Words or terms used as nouns in this contract shall be inclusive of their singular and plural forms, unless the context of their usage clearly requires a contrary meaning.

11.3 TIME IS OF THE ESSENCE

The OWNER may incur damages if the PROJECT is not completed on time. ENGINEER understands and acknowledges that the time limitations contained herein, or provided for hereby, are of critical importance, and in performing professional services, ENGINEER shall not unreasonably delay the Project. Failure to adhere to the schedule shall be a material breach of this Agreement.

11.4 SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

The ENGINEER shall not assign its rights hereunder, excepting its right to payment, nor shall it delegate any of its duties hereunder without the written consent of the OWNER. Subject to the provisions of the immediately preceding sentence, the OWNER and the ENGINEER, respectively, bind themselves, their successors, assigns and legal representatives to the other party to this Agreement and to the successors, assigns and legal representatives of such other party with respect to all covenants of this Agreement. Nothing herein shall be construed as creating any personal liability on the part of any officer or agent of any public body that may be party hereof, nor shall it be construed as giving any rights or benefits hereunder to anyone other than OWNER and ENGINEER.

11.5 NO THIRD-PARTY BENEFICIARIES

This Agreement shall inure solely to the benefit of the parties hereto and their successors and assigns. Nothing contained herein is intended to or shall create a contractual relationship with, or any rights in favor of, or any cause of action in favor or, any third party, against the OWNER or the ENGINEER.

11.6 INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY/ CONFIDENTIALITY

All information, documents, and electronic media, computer source code furnished by the OWNER to the ENGINEER belong to the OWNER, are considered proprietary and confidential, unless otherwise indicated by the OWNER, and are furnished solely for use on the OWNER's PROJECT. Such information, documents, and electronic media, computer source code shall be kept confidential by the ENGINEER, shall only be released as necessary to meet official regulatory requirements in connection with the PROJECT, and shall not be used by the ENGINEER on any other PROJECT or in connection with any other person or entity, unless disclosure or use thereof in connection with any matter other than services rendered to the OWNER hereunder is specifically authorized in writing by the OWNER in advance. This Section 11.6 shall survive the expiration of this Agreement.

11.7 SUBCONTRACT REQUIREMENTS

The ENGINEER shall include the terms and conditions of this Agreement in every subcontract or agreement with a consultant for this PROJECT so that these terms and conditions shall be binding upon each subcontractor or consultant. The subcontractor(s)/consultant(s) will maintain all licenses and certifications to practice its profession or trade by all public entities having jurisdiction over the PROJECT. The subcontractor(s)/consultant(s) further represent to the OWNER that the subcontractor(s)/consultant(s) will maintain all necessary licenses, certifications, permits or other authorizations necessary for the PROJECT until the remaining duties hereunder have been satisfied.

11.8 NOTICES

Unless otherwise provided, all notices shall be in writing and considered duly given if the original is hand delivered, or is sent by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid to City of Huntsville Engineering, P. O. Box 308 (35804), 305 Fountain Circle (35801), Huntsville, AL. All notices shall be given to the addresses set forth above. Notices, hand delivered shall be deemed given the next business day following the date of delivery. Notices given by U.S. Mail shall be deemed given as of the second business day following the date of posting.

11.9 FEDERAL IMMIGRATION LAW

By signing this Agreement, the contracting parties affirm, for the duration of the agreement, that they will not violate federal immigration law or knowingly employ, hire for employment or continue to employ an unauthorized alien within the State of Alabama. Furthermore, a contracting party found to be in violation of this provision shall be deemed in breach of the agreement and shall be responsible for all damages resulting therefrom.

11.10 STRICT COMPLIANCE

No failure of the OWNER to insist upon strict compliance by the ENGINEER with any provision of this Contract for Professional Services shall operate to release, waive, discharge, modify, change or affect any of the ENGINEER's obligations.

11.11 WAIVER

No provision of this Agreement may be waived except by written agreement of the parties. A waiver of any provision on one occasion shall not be deemed a waiver of that provision on any subsequent occasion, unless specifically stated in writing. A waiver of any provision shall not affect or alter the remaining provisions of this Agreement.

11.12 SEVERABILITY

If any provision of this Agreement, or the application thereof, is determined to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of that provision and all other provisions of this Agreement shall remain valid and enforceable.

11.13 ETHICS

The ENGINEER shall not offer or accept any bribes or kickbacks from or to any manufacturer, consultant, trade contractor, subcontractor, supplier or any other individual or entity in connection with the PROJECT. The ENGINEER shall not confer on any governmental, public or quasi-public official having any authority or influence over the PROJECT any payment, loan, subscription, advance, deposit of money, services or anything of more than nominal value, present or promised. The ENGINEER shall not, without the express written permission of the OWNER, engage or recommend to the OWNER engagement of any consultant, trade contractor, subcontractor, or supplier to provide services on behalf of the ENGINEER, OWNER or PROJECT in which the ENGINEER has a direct or indirect proprietary or other pecuniary interest; or call for the use of or by exclusion require or recommend the use of products, materials, equipment, systems, processes or procedures in which the ENGINEER or in which any consultant, trade contractor, subcontractor, or supplier of the ENGINEER has a direct or indirect proprietary or other pecuniary interest. Without prior notification and written approval of the OWNER, the ENGINEER and the ENGINEER'S sub-consultants shall not offer services to the OWNER'S contractor.

11.14 ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Agreement represents the entire agreement between the OWNER and the ENGINEER and supersedes all prior communications, negotiations, representations or agreements, either written or oral. This agreement may be amended only by written instrument signed by both OWNER and ENGINEER.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement the day and year first above written.

ENGINEER: BARGE DESIGN SOLUTIONS, INC.	OWNER: CITY OF HUNTSVILLE
BY: Jason Lowe	BY:Tommy Battle
TITLE: Senior Vice President	TITLE: <u>Mayor</u>
ATTEST:	ATTEST:
Given under my hand thisday	Given under my hand thisday
Of, 2025.	Of, 2025.
Notary Public	Notary Public
My commission expires	My commission expires

ATTACHMENT 1-SCOPE OF SERVICES

(Refer to letter dated November 19, 2025, from Gregg Bissot to Kathy Martin and attachments).



Old Big Cove Road from Claudia Drive to Sutton Road City of Huntsville 11/19/2025

The scope of work is presented in the following elements.

- I. Project Description
- II. Scope of Services
- III. Additional Services
- IV. Project Understanding, Assumptions, and Exclusions
- V. Time of Performance
- VI. Client's Responsibilities
- VII. Deliverables
- VIII. Compensation

I. Project Description

Old Big Cove Road from Claudia Drive to Sutton Road (Cecil Ashburn Dr)

The proposed project corridor is along Old Big Cove Road, which is a two-lane collector road providing access to residential developments, individual residential properties and undeveloped land, between Claudia Drive near Hampton Ridge and Sutton Road west of US-431 (SR-1) in an area southeast of downtown Huntsville (see attached map). The proposed project is approximately 1.6 miles. Many residential developments along Old Big Cove Road have existing sidewalks, but there is a lack of connectivity between residential developments and surrounding parks and trails. Old Big Cove Road will be widened to a 5-lane roadway with bike lanes between Claudia Drive and Sutton Road. A sidewalk will be added on both sides of Old Big Cove Road for the length of the corridor where needed for connectivity. These improvements aim to reduce traffic delays, increase safety, prepare for continued residential and/or commercial development, and provide alternative modes of transportation along the project corridor.

II. Scope of Services

Barge Design Solutions (Barge) proposes the following Scope of Services for the City of Huntsville (City) related to the above-noted project description.

This project is expected to be locally funded. The roadway widening of Old Big Cove Rd will be from Claudia Drive to approximately 500' north of Sutton Road. The typical section is expected to be the following:

- 5-lane curb and gutter
- Bike lanes on both sides of the roadway
- 5' sidewalks on both sides where needed for connectivity
- Double left turns onto Cecil Ashburn at the Sutton Rd intersection and a dedicated right turn lane from Old Big Cove Rd South onto Cecil Ashburn

The following items are included in the scope of work:

A. Field Surveys

As a subconsultant to Barge, Sain Associates will provide a full topographic survey, along Old Big Cove Road as previously described. For a more detailed scope, see the attached proposal.

B. Right-of-Way Tract Sketches and Deeds

Barge will provide the following right-of-way services for up to 15 properties for the section of Old Big Cove Road described previously. Barge will provide tract sketches detailing the present and acquired right-of-way lines, adjoiner information, point of beginning, alignment data, apparent property lines, north arrow, size of parcel, amount of acquired right-of-way to be taken, total of remainder, date, county, state, and other such data as detailed in the right-of-way mapping manual for ALDOT. Further, Barge will prepare property descriptions for up to 15 right-of-way acquisitions. Property descriptions will be metes and bounds having a caption, body, qualifying statement, and conclusion, ultimately, describing a closed geometric figure in which right-of-way will be acquired for each parcel.

C. Roadway Plans

The Roadway Plans task includes the following:

1. Roadway Design Services

Barge will prepare Roadway Construction Plans for the proposed concept for the section of Old Big Cove Road from Claudia Drive to Sutton Road based on the attached man-day estimate in accordance with AASHTO's A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets (Green book) and ALDOT Specifications (current edition as of 07/18/2023) and Standard and Special Drawings. BARGE will make the submittals at the milestones of 60%, 90%, and final plans for plan reviews by the City.

2. Traffic Design Services

Barge will prepare traffic signal design services for one location along Old Big Cove Road in Huntsville, Alabama. The scope includes preparing design modifications to the existing signal at Old Big Cove Road and Sutton Road. Work will include data collection, utility coordination, preparation of signal layouts, pole and equipment placement, wiring and conduit design, basic signal timings, and integration with the City of Huntsville's signal system to ensure safe, efficient, and reliable traffic operations.

3. Utility Coordination

Barge will provide utility coordination services for the section of Old Big Cove Road previously described. The project segment is locally funded and therefore it is assumed that utility coordination efforts are not required to follow the Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT) requirements. Barge will assist the City by facilitating communication and coordination with utility owners, requesting executed utility agreements, and compiling documentation. Barge's role is limited to facilitating communication and documentation. Barge will not be responsible for analyzing utility conflicts, preparation of utility relocation plans, or executing utility relocations as these tasks remain the responsibility of the individual utility owners. Utility coordination tasks shall include the following:

- a. Project Initiation
 - i. Develop a list of potential utility owners within the project footprint.
 - ii. Establish a utility coordination log and utility conflict matrix.

- b. Utility Identification and Data Gathering
 - i. Identify appropriate points of contact for each utility owner.
 - ii. Notify each utility owner of the project scope, schedule, and responsibilities.
 - iii. Identify which utility owners have utility infrastructure within the project footprint.
 - iv. Request record drawings and as-built information from utility owners.
 - v. Compile records and compare against the completed topographic survey.
 - vi. Note discrepancies and request confirmation from utility owners.
 - vii. Coordinate with design team to establish a consolidated utility base map.

c. Plan Distribution and Coordination

- i. Distribute roadway design plans to utility owners at 60%, 90%, and final design submittals.
- ii. Log responses, collect comments and markups, and distribute back to the City and design team.
- iii. Follow up with non-responding utilities when required.
- iv. Maintain a communications log of all utility correspondence.
- v. Record conflicts reported by utility owners in a utility conflict matrix.
- vi. Distribute updates to the City and design team for awareness and design coordination.
- vii. Follow up with utility owners to confirm relocation needs.

d. Utility Coordination Meetings

- Attend up to two on-site meetings with utility owners as needed to verify facilities and discuss relocations. On-site meetings are anticipated to require a full day of effort.
- ii. Attend a 0.5-hr virtual meeting with each utility owner at 60%, 90%, and final design submittals. Up to 16 meetings are anticipated (8 utility owners x 2 design submittals = 16 meetings).
- iii. Attend 1-hr virtual meetings with the City, design team, and utilities to review major conflicts and relocation schedules. Up to 4 meetings are anticipated.

e. Utility Agreement Facilitation

- i. Assist the City in preparing and transmitting utility agreement templates to utility owners.
- ii. Request executed agreements from each utility owner.
- iii. Track agreement status and provide updates to the City.
- iv. Collect executed utility agreements and transmit to the City.

f. Documentation and Deliverables

- i. Maintain a utility coordination log for the duration of the project.
- ii. Maintain a utility conflict matrix throughout design.
- iii. Provide interim utility coordination updates to the City as requested.

4. Geotechnical Investigations

As a subconsultant to Barge, GTEC will provide geotechnical investigations including pavement recommendations, soil survey, signal pole foundations and culvert foundation report, along Old Big Cove Road as previously described. See attached proposal.

D. Environmental

Barge biologists experienced in wetland delineation methodologies will perform a preliminary desktop assessment review of online resources to identify potential aquatic resources. These resources, as well as other features not identified in the desktop review, will be confirmed during field visits. If wetlands and/or streams do exist within the projects proposed study area, Barge will delineate the boundaries of the wetlands following the

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Routine On-site Determination method as described in the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region (Version 2.0, April 2012). Soils, plants, and hydrology will be documented and used to determine the extent of wetlands, if they exist, within the study area. In addition to wetlands, any other potential jurisdictional features such as intermittent and perennial streams, springs, and/or seeps will be identified, and the extent of these features will be determined. Streams will be delineated by Ordinary High-Water Mark. All features will be located with a handheld GPS unit and used to produce an "Existing Conditions" map. All feature boundaries will be flagged in the field.

Barge biologists will develop a Delineated Wetlands and Other Waters Report for submittal to the USACE as part of the §401/§404 permit applications. This package will serve as our communication with the agency as a request to obtain their approval of the jurisdictional status of each feature identified onsite. The package will include all onsite wetlands, streams, and other waters, methods for delineating each feature, figures, and applicable documentation.

Barge anticipates that the construction of the proposed project could result in unavoidable impacts along jurisdictional streams and wetlands. If impacts to jurisdictional waters are required, §401/§404 authorizations might be needed. Barge will prepare General Permit applications requesting authorization from the USACE for the proposed activities for the section of Old Big Cove Road previously described. Barge assumes the proposed activities could require a USACE Nationwide Permit 14 (Linear Transportation Projects). Barge also anticipates the project will likely not exceed the 0.50-acre threshold but could potentially impact individual resource impacts up to 0.03-acres that could trigger notification to the USACE. The City will be responsible for compensatory mitigation, if required.

Once the USACE Nationwide Permit 14 application is submitted, Barge will contact the respective agency project managers and track the permitting progress. Barge will respond to additional information requests as needed to obtain authorization. If the proposed scope does not meet USACE Nationwide Permit 14 thresholds and associated conditions, Individual Permit(s) may be needed. A USACE Individual Permit is not currently included in this proposal, nor potential technical studies such as cultural Phase I and bat presence/absence surveys that could be required for a permit submittal.

E. Sanitary Sewer Relocation

Barge will design the relocation of a gravity main for approximately 500 LF to the side of the new proposed widened roadway. The work includes the following components:

- a. 60% Design
 - Following is a list of activities anticipated during this task:
 - i. Prepare electronic base file drawings based on the completed survey.
 - ii. Prepare drawings for this submittal including:
 - i. Gravity Sewer/Force main plan and profile sheets (profile design to be complete after final approval of alignment)
 - ii. Detail sheets
 - iii. Develop preliminary Opinion of Probable Construction Cost (OPCC) for the new gravity sewer, not including costs of easements or property acquisition.
 - iv. Submit 60% design package for City review and comment.

b. 90% Design

- i. Address and incorporate the City's 60% review comments.
- ii. Finalize design drawings to include standard details and notes.
- iii. Complete final checking and coordination review.
- iv. Finalize construction drawings for bidding.
- v. Update preliminary OPCC based on the 90% design documents.
- vi. Submit 90% design package for City review and comment.

c. 100% Final Design Documents

- i. Address and incorporate the City's 90% review comments.
- ii. Deliver Professional Engineer stamped bid-ready construction drawings.
- iii. Coordinate with the City on bidding process & schedule.

III. Additional Services

If services beyond the tasks outlined in Section II are required, this work can be completed through a supplemental agreement. This also includes any changes to the study area reference in Exhibit A.

Any major updates and/or changes requested by the City, after the 60% submittal review in Section II has been completed will be considered additional services.

IV. Project Understandings, Assumptions, and Exclusions

Barge will provide the above-noted services based upon a given set of assumptions. These assumptions are as follows.

- 1. Barge will have access to the site and adjoining areas, as required.
- 2. A detailed traffic study and analysis will not be required. The City will provide Barge the proposed lane configuration improvements, including turn lane storage lengths, at each intersection.
- 3. Project will be City-funded, so will not require NEPA and technical studies.
- 4. General permitting with the USACE for a Nationwide Permit #14 is anticipated to be required. Individual Permits with the USACE and ADEM are not included in this scope.
- 5. Public Involvement services are not expected or included in this scope.
- 6. Anticipated utility owners include the following: natural gas, water, sanitary sewer, power (2), and telecommunications (5).
- 7. Design duration is assumed to be a maximum of 18 months.
- 8. Utility owners are responsible for performing their own conflict analysis, preparing relocation designs, and relocating their facilities.
- Conceptual Design is not anticipated for this project. The general project alignment will be discussed and determined with the city at the project kickoff meeting. It is assumed to be a combination of symmetrical widening and widening to the west.
- 10. Roadway landscaping is not included in this scope.
- 11. Retaining wall design is not included in this scope.
- 12. Utility design or utility relocation design is not included in this scope.
- Lighting design is not included in this scope.
- Right-of-Way (ROW) plan sheets are not included in this scope.
- Right-of-Way (ROW) appraisals, negotiations and acquisitions are not included in this scope.
- 16. Bid Phases Services are not included in this scope.
- 17. Construction Phase Services are not included in this scope.
- 18. The roadway and gravity sewer design will follow the City of Huntsville Standard

- specifications and be supplemented with the current version of the ALDOT Specifications, Standard Drawings, Special Provisions. Any updates to the aforementioned standards will be shown on the plans.
- 19. The roadway plans will be designed using OpenRoads designer software.
- 20. This Scope of Services and Fee does not include any work other than what is specified above in Section II of this document under "Scope of Services." Additional services may be added to the scope based on mutual agreement and equitable adjustment in fee.

In providing opinions of probable construction cost (OPCC) and construction cost estimates, the Client understands that Barge has no control over the cost or availability of labor, equipment materials, over-market conditions, or the Contractor's method of pricing, and that Barge's construction cost estimates are made on the basis of Barge's professional judgment and experience. Barge makes no warranty, express or implied, that the bids or the negotiated cost of the work will not vary from Barge's estimate.

V. Time of Performance

Barge is prepared to begin work within one (1) week upon receipt of written authorization to proceed. Barge will work with the City to develop an acceptable schedule for design, reviews, and letting for construction. Design duration is assumed to be a maximum of two (2) years.

VI. Client's Responsibilities

Barge strives to work closely with our clients. For the project team to function efficiently, certain information is needed to be provided by the Client and other interested stakeholders in a timely manner. These items and responsibilities are noted below.

- A. Provide information as required to support development of Barge's scope, as required in the project agreement for services.
- B. Provide review comments in a timely manner.
- C. Provide single point of contact for project coordination purposes.

VII. Deliverables

Several deliverables will be produced as part of the basic professional services. The following is a list of documents that will be produced as a part of this effort.

- A. OpenRoads CADD file of Topographic Survey
- B. PDF of Wetland and Other Waters Delineation Report
- C. PDF of USACE Nationwide Permit #14 Application Package if required
- D. PDF of Geotechnical Investigations report
- E. PDF of Roadway and Gravity Sewer Plans and disposition of comments received at previous submittal including:
 - a. 60% plans
 - b. 90% plans
 - c. Final plans
- F. Utility coordination documentation
- G. Right-of-Way (ROW) deeds and tract sketches (up to 15 right-of-way acquisitions)
- H. Opinion of Probable Construction Costs (OPCC)

VIII. Compensation

The compensation to be paid to Barge as a Lump Sum contract for providing requested services is provided in the attached man-day estimate.

.c + 1 ubc o o; ii -- | -- | ----



City of Huntsville Engineering Division

Project No.		
Project Name	Old Big Cove Road	Widening
Description	Old Big Cove Road widening from Claudia Drive to Sutton Road	
Scope of Work	Env, Survey, Roadway, ROW, Sanitary Sewer	
Project Length	1.5 miles	
C.O.H. Project Engineer		
Engineering Consultant		ons

GRAND TOTAL OF FEE PROPOSAL

	Labor Cost	Out-of-pocket Expenses	Fee
Corridor Study	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Field Surveys	\$102,123.00	\$0.00	\$102,123.00
Preliminary Roadway Plans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Preliminary Bridge Plans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Right-of-Way Map, Tract Sketches and Deeds	\$26,107.20	\$0.00	\$26,107.20
Roadway Plans	\$495,085.21	\$343.20	\$495,428.41
Bridge Plans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Drainage Plans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Sanitary Sewer Plans	\$14,751.40	\$0.00	\$14,751.40
Environmental	\$20,814.80	\$1,500.00	\$22,314.80
MORROSON (COLORS)	ND TOTAL FEE		\$660,725

LABOR RATES	Effective Time Period	Project length - 18 months
Classification	Hourly Rate	Assigned Personnel
Project Engineer	\$275.00	Gregg B, Josh M, Garett Y.
Environmental Scientist	\$192.70	Frank A
Design Engineer	\$188.70	Davon E, Ellyn E, Josh B, Casey O
Engineer Tech. / CADD	\$140.00	Devon B, Morgan M, Frank P, Rachel B, Cameron I
Clerical	\$126.50	Lydia H
PLS	\$193.90	Josh E, Joe S
Survey Crew		

^{*}Rates will remain in effect through the duration of the project

Signed Date

Vice President

Position/Title


HUNTSVILLE
The Star of Alabama

City of Huntsville Engineering Division

4:03 PM

Project No.			
Project Name Old Big Cove Road	Widening		
Description Old Big Cove Road	widening from Claudia Drive to S	utton Roa	d
Scope of Work Env, Survey, Roadw	ay, ROW, Sanitary Sewer		
Project Length 1.5 miles			
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens			
Engineering Consultant Barge Design Solution	ons		
	e Proposal (Field Survey)	
PERSONNEL COST			
LINGONIEL GGG.	Daily Rate		
	Man-days @ 8hrs/day		
Project Engineer	0.00 \$ 2,200.0	0 \$	
PLS	0.00 \$ 1,551.2		4
Survey Crew	0.00 \$ -	\$	
Engineer Tech. / CADD	0.00 \$ 1,120.0		
Clerical	0.00 \$ 1,012.0		
	Sub-Total	\$	
			- I benev
SUB-CONSULTANTS (attach man-day & fee FROM	each sub-consultant; show to	tal fee for	eacn nere)
Sain Associates (Field Survey and ROW Staking)		\$	97,260.00
		\$	
		\$	
		\$	
		\$	
		\$	4,863.00
Subconsultant Administration Expense (5%)	Sub-Total	_	102,123.00
	Sub-Total	Ψ	102,120.00
	TOTAL LAB	OR S	102,123.00
	TOTAL LAB	Ψ	,







September 29, 2025 Revised October 31, 2025

Gregg Bissot Barge Design Solutions 200 Clinton Avenue, Suite 800 Huntsville, AL 35801

SUBJECT:

Old Big Cove Road Survey Phase I

SA Project #25-0264

Dear Gregg

We appreciate the opportunity to submit this proposal for land surveying services. Following is a description of our understanding of your project and the scope of services that we propose to undertake.

General Pro eci Understanding

A Right-of-Way and Topographic Survey of Old Big Cove Road are required for roadway design. The corridor for phase I starts at the intersection of Old Big Cove Road and Claudia Drive and extends along Old Big Cove to the intersection of Sutton Road. Below is the scope of services we propose to provide.

Scope of Services

Field Survey

Owner Notifications

Prior to beginning work Sain will prepare owner notification letters to send to all property owners within the survey corridor of each phase. The notifications will be mailed to addresses as indicated in the records of the tax assessor's office for Madison County. In addition, and where possible we will attempt to leave notification letters at each residence or business within the survey corridor. We will not make multiple attempts, call, or otherwise attempt contact to get permissions to access properties. If we cannot obtain permission, we will contact you for assistance.

Basic Control Survey

A basic control survey will be performed to locate and identify horizontal and vertical control points which will provide control in the project corridor and will be the basis of subsequent work. In addition, benchmarks will be monumented at intervals not to exceed one thousand (1000) feet along the project corridor. This control will be based on State Plane Coordinate System (Alabama East Zone). The control will be established using standard survey methods.

Right-of-Way and Property

Property and Right-of-Way research will be performed with the Judge of Probate for Madison County. Those documents along with available Right-of-Way and property monumentation will



5021 Technology Drive NW Suite B2 Huntsville, Alabama 35805



be used to establish the existing Rights-of-Way through the survey corridors. Where possible property lines and owner information as described in the above Right-of-Way/property research will be plotted and shown. This will include all properties adjacent to the project corridor.

Topographic Survey

Field locations will be gathered to establish Topography along the survey corridors. Contours will be shown at 1-foot intervals and spot elevations will be shown in flat areas. Where accessible, visible drainage and sanitary structures will be shown indicating the skew, inlet flow line elevation, outlet flow line elevation, length of pipe, size of pipe, type of pipe, and flow direction. Please note that due to safety concerns, Sain Associates' personnel will not enter confined spaces to gather data. In the event that the data cannot be gathered from ground level, a note will be shown on the survey indicating the reason the information is not shown. Visible improvements will be shown including buildings, walls, fences, sidewalks, curbs, parking areas, paved areas, and landscaped areas. Trees 18" and larger DBH will be located and shown on the survey. Overhead utilities, utility poles, transformers, meter boxes, guy wires, and other visible utility features will be located and shown on the survey.

The topographic limits will extend 100 feet on each side of the centerline of Old Big Cove Road except in the areas that are enclosed behind fences or other barriers in which the fence or barrier would be the extent of our survey area, 200 feet each side of the intersection with Sutton Road/Cecil Ashburn Drive, 400 feet on each side of the intersection with Taylor Road and 400 feet along any intersecting roads or streets. Creek or streams will be surveyed within the corridor's limits of Old Big Cove Road and no additional length will be surveyed as part of this scope. The corridor begins 100 feet north of Ashley Drive SE and terminates 250 feet south of Tessle Dr. SE.

Right-of-Way

Staking

Sain will stake the acquired right-of-way one time. The acquired right-of-way will be provided to Sain Associates by Barge Design Solutions. Stakes will be placed at 100 foot intervals and at all PI's, PC's and PT's as provided. Iron pins will not be placed at these points. Sain will not replace stakes if they are lost or obliterated. Any additional re-stakes will be billed hourly per our terms and conditions.

Deliverables


Sain will provide the survey in PDF format and Open Roads Designer cad file,


Exclusions

The following services are excluded from this proposal but can be provided if deemed necessary and requested by you: This work does not include ALTA/NSPS Land Title Survey, Flood Studies or hydrographic surveys along stream crossings, Platting, boundary surveys, private underground utility locates, construction staking, property or easement acquisition legals and exhibits, replacing obliterated property corners or right of way monumentation, engineering design or review, or any service not described above.

Fees







We propose to provide the above-described services based on the following fee schedule:

Service	Fee
Field Survey	\$88,384 Lump Sum
Right of Way (Staking Only)	\$8,876 Lump Sum
Total	\$97,260 Lump Sum

Reimbursable expenses such as printing, shipping, mileage, etc. are included in the above fees.

Procedures for Changes in Scope of Work

The scope of work documented herein is based upon information known as of the date of this proposal. Should future changes (e.g. site plan, regulatory, project phasing, additional meetings, etc.) necessitate changes in the scope of work, we will contact you to discuss the scope of the additional work and its impact to our contracted fees and project schedule. No additional work will be undertaken by Sain or our subconsultants without your authorization.

terms and Conditions

This contract is subject to the enclosed Terms and Conditions of a Standard City of Huntsville Engineering Contract and Barge's negotiated Subconsultant Agreement.

Proposal Limitations

We reserve the right to withdraw or modify this proposal if not contracted within 60 days.

Sain Associates has provided this proposal with the understanding that you have selected our firm to perform professional services based upon our staff's qualifications, experience and reputation and not solely upon the cost of the services proposed. We trust the fees outlined herein are acceptable and within your project budgetary plans. We look forward to commencement of the work and will be glad to address any questions or concerns you have regarding the technical scope and/or schedule of fees for this proposal. If you should request additional prices for the scope of work included herein from other consulting engineers and/or land surveyors, please consider our proposal withdrawn in order to comply with Alabama Administrative Code Chapter 330-X-14-.05(f).

Schedule

Sain can begin within one week of signed contract and will work with Barge Design Solutions to determine a schedule of deliverables.







Thank you for the opportunity to provide this proposal. If you have any questions or need clarification on any item, please call me. We look forward to working with you.

Sincerely,

SAIN ASSOCIATES, INC.

Hay Piking

Gary H. Pitzing PLS Alabama Registered Surveyor#18982 Sain Associates

ENCLOSURES Exhibit A

Mandays Form

BY: Jack K	OCIATES, INC. Cimbrough, Jr. PE ransportation Design and CEI
	MAZE.
	Signature of Authorized Representative
Date: _	10/31/2025
ACCEPT BY: BARG	ED. E DESIGN SOLUTIONS
:	Signature of Authorized Representative
	Print Name & Title
Date:	









EXHIBIT A



12:48 PM

Project No.	TBD
Project Name	Old Big Cove Phase I
Description	Widening
Scope of Work	Grade, Drain, Base, and Pave
Project Length	1.46
C.O.H. Project Engineer	Jacob Stephens
Engineering Consultant	Sain Associates, Inc. (Sub to Barge)

GRAND TOTAL OF FEE PROPOSAL

		Out-of-pocket	
	Labor Cost	Expenses	Fee
Corridor Study	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Field Surveys	\$86,144.00	\$2,240.00	\$88,384.00
Preliminary Roadway Plans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Preliminary Bridge Plans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Right-of-Way Map, Tract Sketches and Deeds	\$8,556.00	\$320.00	\$8,876.00
Roadway Plans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Bridge Plans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Drainage Plans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Sanitary Sewer Plans	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Environmental	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
	AND TOTAL FEE		\$97,260

LABOR RATES	Effective Time Period	Project Duration
Classification	Hourly Rate	Assigned Personnel
Project Engineer	\$270.00	Jack Kimbrough
Environmental Scientist		N/A
Design Engineer	\$185.00	
Engineer Tech. / CADD	\$142.00	Dave Russell
Clerical	\$85.00	
PLS	\$175.00	Gary Pitzing/Michael Thomas
Survey Crew	\$210.00	Various

Director, Transportation Design and CE Position/Title



Project No. T	TBD
Project Name C	Old Big Cove Phase I
Description V	Nidening
Scope of Work G	Grade, Drain, Base, and Pave
Project Length 1	1.46
C.O.H. Project Engineer J	Jacob Stephens
	Sain Associates, Inc. (Sub to Barge)

			Engineer
	No.	Survey	Tech. /
FIELD SURVEY	PLS	Crew	CADD
Based on a 2 Man Crew			
Task	ESTIM	ATED MAN	
Contact Property Owners	1.00	1.00	0.25
Perform Basic Control Survey	1.00	5.00	0.25
Obtain Topographic Data	2.00	10.00	4.00
Define Drainage Areas/Prepare Schematic Drainage Map	0.00	0.00	0.00
Identify/Locate Utilities	1.00	3.00	2.00
Tie to Required Property Corners	3.00	6.00	2.00
Obtain Copies of Latest Deeds	1.00	0.00	1.50
Set & Reference Pls, PCs, POTs, POCs, & other critical points	0.50	2.00	
Prepare Detailed Topographical/Field Map	2.00	1.00	5.00
Tropare Detailed Topograpmount to a map	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTALS	11.50	28.00	15.25

Duciest No. TDD						
Project No. TBD	31					
Project Name Old Big Cove I	nase I					
Description Widening						
Scope of Work Grade, Drain,	Base, and Pave					
Project Length 1.46						
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephe	ens					
Engineering Consultant Sain Associate	es, Inc. (Sub to B	arge)				
Out-of-pocke	et Expenses (Field Sur	rvey)			
PRINTING / REPRODUCTION COST						
		Sheets	Total	Cost per		
Type of printing/reproduction	# of Sets	per Set	Sheets	Sheet		Total
	0	0	0	\$ -	\$	<u> </u>
	0	0	0	\$ -	\$	
	0	0	0	\$ -	\$	
	0	0	0	\$ -	\$	
	0	0	0	\$ -	\$	
	0	0	0	\$ -	\$	Ħ
		Total Prin	ting/Repr	oduction C	ıφ	
						Total
Communication Cost (telephone, fax, etc.)		_			\$	Total
					Ι Ψ	
a transmitted to make to V					_	Total
Postage Cost (overnight, stamps, etc.)					\$	-
					_	
Other (provide description on next line)						Total
Field Supplies @	\$80/Day				\$	2,240.00
Tiold dapping						
	Total	Out-of-poo	ket Exper	nses	\$	2,240.00

Project No. TBD				
Project Name Old Big Cove Pha	se l			
	330 1			
Description Widening	a and Davo			
Scope of Work Grade, Drain, Bas			-	
Project Length	1.46			
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens				
Engineering Consultant Sain Associates,				
	Fee Proposal (Fiel	d Survey)		
PERSONNEL COST		D 7 D 1	F	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Daily Rate		
		@ 8hrs/day \$ 2,160.00	\$	4,320.00
Project Engineer	11.50		\$	16,100.00
PLS		\$ 1,680.00	\$	47,040.00
Survey Crew Engineer Tech. / CADD		\$ 1,136.00	\$	17,324.00
Clerical	2,00		\$	1,360.00
Clerical		Sub-Total	\$	86,144.00
SUB-CONSULTANTS (attach man-day & fee FR	OM each sub-consulta	nt; show tota	fee for	each here)
			3	
			\$	
			\$	
			\$	
			\$:
2. It at Administration Europee (59/1)			\$	-
Subconsultant Administration Expense (5%)		Sub-Total	\$	-
	T	OTAL LABOR	\$	86,144.0

Project Name Old Big Cove Project Length C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens Engineering Consultant Sain Associates Supporting Docume Date of Research Parcel Tax From Barge 0	ase, and Pave 1.46 s, Inc. (Sub to Bar		ee Prop	Notes	
Scope of Work Grade, Drain, Barroject Length C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens Engineering Consultant Sain Associates Supporting Docume Date of Research Parcel Tax	1.46 s, Inc. (Sub to Bar	ROW F	ee Prop	Notes	
Scope of Work Grade, Drain, Barroject Length C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens Engineering Consultant Sain Associates Supporting Docume Date of Research Parcel Tax	1.46 s, Inc. (Sub to Bar	ROW F	ee Prop	osal Notes	
Project Length C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens Engineering Consultant Sain Associates Supporting Docume Date of Research Parcel Tax	1.46 s, Inc. (Sub to Bar	ROW F	ee Prop	Notes	
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens Engineering Consultant Sain Associates Supporting Docume Date of Research Parcel Tax	, Inc. (Sub to Bar	ROW F	ee Prop	Notes	
Supporting Docume Date of Research Parcel Tax	, Inc. (Sub to Bar	ROW F	ee Prop	Notes	
Supporting Docume Date of Research Parcel Tax		ROW F	ee Prop	Notes	
	ntation for	ROW F Takings 15	ee Prop	Notes Notes	
	ID# # of	Takings 15		Notes	
From Barge 0		15			
			1		
			+		
			1		
			-		
			-		
			-		
			-		
			1		

10/31/2025

City of Huntsville Engineering Division

Project No			
	Old Big Cove Phase I		
Description	ı Widening		
Scope of Work	Grade, Drain, Base, and	Pave	
Project Length	1.40	ô	
C.O.H. Project Enginee	r Jacob Stephens		
Engineering Consultan	t Sain Associates, Inc. (S	ub to Barge)	
	ng Documentatio		Proposal
Date of Research	Parcel Tax ID#	# of Takings	Notes
Date of resourch			
	 		

Total Takings:

15

0.00

0.50

0.00

0.50

0.00

4.00

0.00

4.00

Task C: Deeds

TOTALS

Task D: Right-of-Way/Acquired Parcel Staking

City of Huntsville Engineering Division

12:48 PM

0.00

1.00

0.00

1.00

Project No. TBD			
Project Name Old Big Cove Phase I			
Description Widening			
Scope of Work Grade, Drain, Base, and Pave			
Project Length 1.46			
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens			
Engineering Consultant Sain Associates, Inc. (Sub to Barge)			
			Engineer
		Survey	Tech. /
ROW Map, Tract Sketches and Deeds	PLS	Crew	CADD
Estimated number of takings= 15	ESTIMATED MAN-DAYS		
Task A: Right-of-Way Map	0.00	0.00	0.00
Task B: Tract Sketches	0.00	0.00	
	0.00	0.00	0.00

Note: A "Taking" is any separate piece of property acquired by the C.O.H. This includes parcels, drainage easements, construction easements, etc.

Project No. TBD				
Project Name Old Big Cove Phas	e l			
Description Widening				
Scope of Work Grade, Drain, Base	, and Pave			
Project Length	1.46			
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens				
Engineering Consultant Sain Associates, In	c. (Sub to Barge)			
Fee Proposal	(ROW Map, Trac	t Sketches	& Deeds	s)
		@ 8hrs/day	•	
		Daily Rate		
		\$ 2,160.00	S	
Project Engineer		\$ 1,400.00	\$	700.00
PLS	4.00		\$	6,720.00
Survey Crew		\$ 1,136.00	\$	1,136.00
Engineer Tech. / CADD Clerical	0.00		\$	
Sierical		Sub-Total	\$	8,556.00
SUB-CONSULTANTS (attach man-day & fee FR	OM each sub-consu	ultant; show t	total fee fo	r each here)
			\$	
	\$	- _		
			\$	
Subconsultant Administration Expense (5%)			\$	(¥C)
		Sub-Total	\$	(*)
		Sub-Total		

B							
Project No. TBD				_		_	
Project Name Old Big Cov	ve Phase I						
Description Widening							
Scope of Work Grade, Dra	in, Base, and Pave						
Project Length	1.46						
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Step	hens						
Engineering Consultant Sain Assoc	iates, Inc. (Sub to B	arge)					
Out-of-pocket Expens	es (ROW Map,	Γract Sk	etches &	De	eds)		
PRINTING / REPRODUCTION COST				1.0		_	
Type of printing/reproduction	# of Sets	Sheets per Set	Total Sheets		st per heet		Total
Tract Sketch (Review)	0	1	0	\$	2.00	\$	•
Tract Sketch (Final)	0	11	0	\$	2.00	\$	
Legals (Review)	0	1	0	\$	2.00	\$	π.
Legals (Final)	0	1	0	\$	2.00	\$	
2034	0	0	0	\$	*	\$	
	0	0	0	\$		\$	
		Total Prin	ting/Repr	odu	ction C	\$	-
Communication Cost (telephone, fax, etc	c.)						Total
Ommanioación octificação,						\$	-
Postage Cost (overnight, stamps, etc.)							Total
Postage Oost (overnight, stamps, stee)						\$	30
Other (provide description on next line)							Total
Field Supplies	s @ \$80/Day					\$	320.0
		Total	Out-of-po	cket	Expen	! \$	320.0

Descriptio	n Old Big Cove Road wideni	ng Irom Claudia	Line to Sutton Road
Scope of Wor	k Env, Survey, Roadway, Ro	Ovv. Sanitary Se	WEI
Project Lengt	n 1,5 miles		
H. Project Enginee	er Jacob Stephens at Barge Design Solutions		
Support	ing Documentation Parcel Tax ID#	for ROW F	ee Proposal
ate of Research	Parcel Tax ID#	# of Takings	Notes
ate of frederian	1.81381.1801.80	15	
	+		<u> </u>
			-
		-	1
			+
		Ť.	
- 11			
	Total Takings	15	

4:03 PM

Project No.			
Project Name Old Big Cove Road Widening			
Description Old Big Cove Road widening from Claudia Driv	e to Sutton	Road	
Scope of Work Env, Survey, Roadway, ROW, Sanitary Sewer			
Project Length 1.5 miles			
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens			
Engineering Consultant Barge Design Solutions			
			Engineer
		Survey	Tech. /
ROW Map, Tract Sketches and Deeds	PLS	Crew	CADD
Estimated number of takings= 15	ESTIMA	ATED MAN	
Task A: Right-of-Way Map	0.00	0.00	0.00
Task B: Tract Sketches	3.00	0.00	7.50
Task C: Deeds	3.00	0.00	7.50
Task D: Right-of-Way/Acquired Parcel Staking	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6.00	0.00	15.00
TOTALS	6.00	0.00	15.00

Note: A "Taking" is any separate piece of property acquired by the C.O.H. This includes parcels, drainage easements, construction easements, etc.

Droiget No.					
Project No.	oning	_			
Project Name Old Big Cove Road Wid	oning from Claus	dia I	Drive to Su	tton Road	
Description Old Big Cove Road wide	ening from Claud	Carr	Jive to Su	ILUIT KUAU	
Scope of Work Env, Survey, Roadway,	ROW, Sanitary	Sev	ver		
Project Length 1.5 miles		_			
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens					
Engineering Consultant Barge Design Solutions					
Fee Proposal (RC	OW Map, Trac	ct S	ketches	& Deeds	s)
l El					
PERSONNEL COST		Dai	ly Rate		
	Man-days		- 1		
				\$	
Project Engineer					9,307.20
PLS	6.00	_	1,551.20	\$	9,307.20
Survey Crew	0.00			\$	40,000,00
Engineer Tech. / CADD		_	1,120.00	\$	16,800.00
Clerical	0.00		1,012.00	\$	
			Sub-Total	\$	26,107.20
SUB-CONSULTANTS (attach man-day & fee FROM e	each sub-consu	ıltar	nt: show to	otal fee for	r each here)
SUB-CONSULTANTS (attach man-day a los i from s		-		\$	-
				\$	
				\$	
Subconsultant Administration Expense (5%)				\$	
Outdonistrant Administration Expense (E-M)		Su	b-Total	\$	i.e.,
					26,107.20

Concrete Safety Barrier

Ditch Summary

Retaining Wall

Erosion Control

Misc. Boxes

City of Huntsville Engineering Division

4:03 PM

1.00

0.00

0.00

1.00

1.00

0.00

1.00

0.00

0.00

1.00

1.00

0.00

Project No.							
Project Name Old Big Cove R	oad Widen	ing					
Description Old Big Cove R	oad wideni	ng from (Claudia D	rive to Si	utton Ro	ad	
Scope of Work Env, Survey, Ro	oadway, Ro	OW, San	itary Sew	er			
Project Length 1.5 miles	+						
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens	3						
Engineering Consultant Barge Design S	olutions						
			EST	IMATED		AYS	
DOADWAY DI ANC				Des	177		r Tech. /
ROADWAY PLANS			Engineer	Engi	neer		DD
	# OF	DAYS/		DAYS/		DAYS/	
SHEET TITLE	SHEETS		TOTAL	SHEET			TOTAL
TITLE SHEET	1.00		0.13	0.50	0.50	1.00	1.00
INDEX SHEET	2.00		0.00		0.26		0.5 0.7
PROJECT NOTE SHEET	3.00						
PLANS LEGEND	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.1
TYPICAL SECTIONS				4.50	0.00	1 2 001	6.0
Main Roadway	2.00						6.0 3.0
Cross Roads	1.00			1.50			0.0
Detour & Misc.	0.00			0.00			0.0
Ramps	0.00						3.0
Details	2.00						0.0
Roundabout	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0
SUMMARY SHEET		1 - 25		1 00	1 4 00	2.00	2.0
Main Summary	1.00	0.25	0.25	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.0
SUMMARY BOX SHEETS	T - 0.00	T 0.40	1 0.00	0.50	1.00	1.50	3.0
Roadway Pipe	2.00						0.5
Culvert Extension, New Culvert	0.50						0.0
Bridge Culvert Extension, New Bridge Culvert	0.00						0.5
Guardrail	0.50						0.0
Slope Paving (Under Bridges)	0.00						0.2
Side Drain Pipe	0.50						
Signing	1.00						1.0
Base & Pavement	1.00						
Bridge	0.00						
Striping & Pavement Markings	1.00						
Curb & Gutter	1.00						
Bridge End Slabs	0.00						
Roadway Lighting	0.00						
Sidewalk	0.50						
Slope Paving (Ditches)	0.00	0.00					

0.13

0.00

0.00

0.13

0.13

0.00

1.00

0.00

0.00

1.00

1.00

0.00

0.13

0.00

0.00

0.13

0.13

0.00

0.50

0.00

0.00

0.50

0.50

0.00

0.50

0.00

0.00

0.50

0.50

0.00

			EST	IMATED	MAN-D	AYS	
	Design Eng			Engine	r Tech. /		
ROADWAY PLANS		Project I	Engineer	Engli	neer	CA	VDD D
	# OF	DAYS/		DAYS/		DAYS/	
SHEET TITLE	SHEETS		TOTAL	SHEET	TOTAL	SHEET	TOTAL
PLAN & PROFILE	-						
Main Roadway	6.00	0.25	1.50	1.50	9.00	2.50	15.00
Crossroads	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Detours	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Retaining Walls	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Roundabout Grading	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PAVING LAYOUT		-					
Main Roadway	6.00	0.25	1.50	0.75	4.50	2.00	12.00
Crossroads	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Intersections	0.00	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Roundabout	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
INTERCHANGES							
Geometrics	0.00	0.00	0.00				0.00
Ramps Profiles	0.00		0.00	0.00		1	0.00
Site Grading	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Cross Sections	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00
Signing	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Olgrining	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TRAFFIC CONTROL							
Sequence of Construction	1.00	0.25	0.25	0.75	0.75		1.50
Summary & notes	2.00		0.26	0.50	1.00		2.00
Typical Section Sketches	1.00	0.13	0.13	0.50	0.50		1.00
Signing Layout	6.00	0.13	0.78	0.75	4.50		9.00
Special Drawings	6.00	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.78		1.50
openia, brankinge	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
STRIPING & SIGNING							
Signing, Striping & Pavement Markers Layout	6.00						
Roundabout	0.00						
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SIGNALIZATION							
Signal Layout (1 per site)	1.00						
Traffic Analysis	0.00						
Traffic Counts (1 per site)	0.00						
Signal Warrant Analysis (1 per site)	0.00						
Special Details	0.00						
Roundabout Analysis	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
UTILITY SHEETS							
Utility Sheets	6.00						
Utility Coordination	1.0	0 1.00	1.0	5.00	5.0	0 14.00	14.00
DRAINAGE SECTIONS							
Pipe&Culvert X-Sect./Profiles	8.0						
	0.0						
	0.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.00
LIGHTING						al a a	1 00
Plan Layout	0.0						
Special Details	0.0		_				
Single Line Wiring Diagrams/Electrical Design	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.0
EROSION CONTROL							

		ESTIMATED MAN-DAYS					
		11/20/ 10			Engineer Tech. /		
ROADWAY PLANS		Project	Engineer	Engi	neer		NDD
	# OF	DAYS/		DAYS/		DAYS/	
SHEET TITLE	SHEETS	SHEET	TOTAL	SHEET			TOTAL
Erosion Control Layout	9.00	0.25	2.25				15.75
Erosion Control Details	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
ROADWAY CROSS SECTIONS							
Main Roadway	60.00	0.13	7.80		15.00		30.00
Crossroads	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
Earthwork Balancing	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
Roundabout	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
SUB-TOTAL	143.00		25.58		87.09	1,310	184.38
REVIEW MEETINGS							
Design Criteria/Kickoff		1	1.00	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	0.00		0.00
30% Review		1877/189	0.00		0.00	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	0.00
60% Review	PERKEL		1.00	_	1.00		0.00
90% Review	Phillips		1.00	17.11	1.00	higher has	0.00
Stormwater Permits	Sept Sex		0.13	CUIE	1.00		1.00
Drainage Report			0.50		2.00		2.00
Cost Estimates	77.75	- W. 1-25	1.00	The same of the sa	2.00	A STATE OF THE STA	2.00
Design Hearing			0.00		0.00	Desc. Tel	0.00
Attend Pre-bid and pre-construction		Seller Seller	1.00		1.00	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	0.00
Site Visits	246.26%	ALT WAY	1.00	ACT MANAGEMENT A	1.00		1.00
Weekly Conference Calls			2.00	The second second	2.00	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	0.00
SUB-TOTAL			8.63	3	11.00		6.00
TOTAL MAN-DAYS			34.21	E.A.	98.09		190.38

Project No.				
Project Name Old Big Cove Roa	d Widening			
Description Old Big Cove Roa	d widening from Claudia	Drive to Suttor	Road	
Scope of Work Env, Survey, Road	dway, ROW, Sanitary Se	wer		
Project Length 1.5 miles				
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens				
Engineering Consultant Barge Design Solo	utions			
	osal (Roadway Plan	s)		
PERSONNEL COST	Man-days	Daily Rate @ 8hrs/day		
Project Engineer	34.21		\$	75,262.00
Design Engineer		\$ 1,509.60	\$	148,076.66
Engineer Tech. / CADD		\$ 1,120.00	\$	213,225.60
Clerical	4.00		\$	4,048.00
Ciencal		Sub-Total	\$	440,612.26
SUB-CONSULTANTS (attach man-day & fee FF	OM each sub-consulta	int: show total	l fee for	each here)
GTEC (Geotechnical analysis and report)	TOM CACIT SUB CONCURS		\$	51,879.00
Subconsultant Administration Expense (5%)			\$	2,593.95
		Sub-Total	\$	54,472.95
	Т	OTAL LABOR	\$	495,085.21

Project Name Old Big Cove Re Description Old Big Cove Re Scope of Work Env, Survey, Ro Project Length 1.5 miles C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stepher Engineering Consultant Barge Design S Out-of-pocket Ex	pad widening from adway, ROW, ns olutions	om Claudia Sanitary Se	a Drive to S ewer	Sutto	n Road		
Scope of Work Env, Survey, Ro Project Length 1.5 miles C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stepher Engineering Consultant Barge Design S	ns olutions	om Claudia Sanitary Se	a Drive to S ewer	Sutto	n Road		
Project Length 1.5 miles C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stepher Engineering Consultant Barge Design S	ns olutions	Sanitary Se	ewer				
Project Length 1.5 miles C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stepher Engineering Consultant Barge Design S	ns olutions						
Engineering Consultant Barge Design S	olutions						
Engineering Consultant Barge Design S	olutions						
Out-of-pocket Ex							
Out or pooner =	penses (Ro	adway P	lans)				
RINTING / REPRODUCTION COST							
		Sheets	Total		st per		
ype of printing/reproduction	# of Sets	per Set	Sheets		heet		Total
0% Submittal	5	143	715	\$	0.20	\$	143.00
0% Submittal	5	143	715	\$	0.20	\$	143.00
00% Submittal	2	143	286	\$	0.20	\$	57.20
			0			\$	-
id Documents			0			\$	
onstruction Documents			0			\$	* .
		Total Prin	ting/Repr	oduc	tion C	\$	343.20
ommunication Cost (telephone, fax, etc.)				_			Total
ommunication cost (telephone, lax, etc.)				==		\$	*
ostage Cost (overnight, stamps, etc.)							Total
ostage cost (everinging etamps) etal							
ther (provide description on next line)						_	Total
							0.40.00
	Tota	Out-of-po	ocket Exp	ense	:S	_\$_	343.20
comments:				-		_	



October 28, 2025

Barge Design Solutions 200 Clinton Avenue West, Suite 800 Huntsville, AL 35801

ATTN:

Mr. Gregg Bissot, PE

SUBJECT:

Proposal for Geotechnical Engineering Study

Old Big Road Cove Widening

Huntsville, Alabama

GTEC Proposal No. P-00790 Rev. 1

Ladies and Gentlemen,

GTEC, LLC is pleased to provide this proposal for a Geotechnical Engineering Study for the above referenced project in Huntsville, Alabama. Project information was provided by Mr. Gregg Bissot during a telephone conversation on September 4, 2025 and subsequently on October 23, 205 for this revision. This proposal describes the site and presents a planned scope of services, fee, and anticipated schedule.

PROJECT INFORMATION

GTEC, LLC understands that Barge Design Solutions is assisting the City of Huntsville with design of a roadway widening project in Huntsville, Alabama. We understand the project is located on Old Big Cove Road from the Sutton Road and Old Big Cove Road intersection south to the Taylor Road and Old Big Cove Road intersection. Project plans also include roadway widening from the Sutton Road and Old Big Cove Road intersection north to the Ashley Road and Old Big Cove Road intersection. The project will be divided into two segments. Segment II is outside of the scope of this study. Segment I will include Old Big Cove Road from its intersection with Ashley Road and extend south to its intersection with Claudia Drive. We understand that the existing, two-lane Old Big Cove Road will be widened to four thru lanes with a two-way left-turn lane and the widened alignment will be approximately 1.45 miles. A separated sidewalk will be included as part of the project. We anticipate curb and gutter drainage will be used.

We anticipate up to five box culverts will be lengthened to accommodate the widening. We also anticipate four new roadway signal poles at the intersection with Sutton Road.

City of Huntsville funds will be used for Segment I of the project therefore we have provided our scope assuming that our reports will not be reviewed by Alabama Department of Transportation (ALDOT). This scope has been developed to meet ALDOT's Geotechnical Manual released September 7, 2021. Deviations from ALDOT's Geotechnical Manual include backfilling test



borings with soil cuttings instead of grouting and performing California Bearing Ratio (ASTM D1883) laboratory testing in place of Resilient Modulus testing (AASHTO T307). Our study also includes borings at 500-foot intervals and one boring per expected culvert extension.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

The purpose of our study is to explore the subsurface conditions and groundwater levels in order to provide recommendations for construction planning. To accomplish this objective, we have developed the following scope of services.

We will contact Alabama One Call prior to the performance of our field services. The utility location services will only mark registered public utility lines; therefore, we will need assistance in locating private lines or underground structures.

Field Activities

Existing Asphalt Assessment

GTEC proposes to perform a combination of falling weight deflectometer (FWD) testing and asphalt coring along the existing road of Segment I to assess the in place structural coefficient of the existing asphalt. FWD testing will be performed at 0.2-mile intervals along each lane of the roadway. At selected FWD test locations, GTEC will measure and collect asphalt cores (15 total) from the existing roadway to verify the results of FWD testing and measure the underlying base thickness to record the total thickness of the existing pavement. After removal of the existing asphalt and base, Dynamic Cone Penetrometer testing will be performed in accordance with ASTM D6951 on the exposed subgrade. Grab samples of the subgrade soils will be collected for visual and laboratory classification testing. Measurements and photos of the asphalt cores will be taken in our laboratory.

GTEC proposes to provide traffic control during FWD testing and asphalt coring. We will provide traffic control signage, cones, and flaggers.

GTEC will visually observe and record the existing pavement areas for pavement distress such as cracks, potholes, and oxidation. Photographic documentation of the distresses will also be performed during the visual survey.

Site Access and Soil Test Borings

GTEC will subcontract clearing services to clear vegetation to provide access paths to test locations. During site clearing, we will explore bedrock conditions with test pits where we observe rock outcrops at the surface. Soil sampling and strength testing will not be performed in the test pits, but we will keep a log of rock depths and slot depths.

Test locations will be marked using a hand-held GPS unit. If a topographic survey is provided, boring elevations can be estimated by interpolating between contour lines. If more accurate location and elevation are needed, we recommend our boring locations be surveyed.



GTEC proposes to explore the subsurface conditions with twenty-two (22) soil test borings during this study. Each boring will be advanced to the proposed termination depth or refusal, whichever occurs first.

Location	Number of Borings	Proposed Depth (ft)
Signal Poles	4	30
Pavement	13	10
Culverts	5	15

Signal Pole borings will not be advanced beyond auger refusal as required by the ALDOT Geotechnical Manual in the event that auger or SPT refusal occurs before the planned termination depth. Based on the mapped depth of bedrock, there is likely shallow bedrock near the Jane Elizabeth Drive intersection. For estimation purposes, we have assumed shallow refusal and rock coring in two (2) pavement boring locations in this area. If auger refusal is encountered, these borings will be advanced 10 feet below auger refusal using NQ rock coring techniques. Rock core samples will similarly be logged along with measurements of the sample recovery and Rock Quality Designation (RQD). Standard penetration tests (SPT) in accordance with ASTM D1586 will be conducted in conjunction with the soil test borings. The SPT tests will be performed at 2-1/2 foot intervals in the upper 10 feet and at 5-foot intervals thereafter to boring termination or auger or SPT refusal. Pocket penetrometer readings may be taken on each sample and recorded on the Boring Log. Upon completion, subsurface water will be measured and recorded in each borehole. Temporary standpipe piezometers will be installed in at least two (2) soil test borings, and the remaining boreholes will be backfilled with soil auger cuttings. Due to Segment I not being mandated by ALDOT requirements, we have assumed grout backfill will not be needed in our boreholes.

A member of our staff will supervise the drilling activities and visually classify the soil samples in general accordance with ASTM D2488, the Standard Practice for Description and Identification (Visual-Manual Procedure) and AASHTO M145. Based on the anticipated conditions, we plan to perform the following laboratory tests on select samples:

- Natural Moisture Content (Soil), AASHTO T265
- Atterberg Limits, AASHTO T89 and T90
- Unconfined Compressive Strength of Soil, AASHTO T208
- Unconfined Compressive Strength of Rock, ASTM D7012
- Sieve Analysis, AASHTO T88
- Topsoil Testing, ASTM D5268 and ASTM D2974
- California Bearing Ratio with Standard Proctor, ASTM D1883



Engineering Evaluation and Report

After our analyses are complete, we will issue a written report describing the exploration and outlining our recommendations. The report will include the following:

- Our understanding of the planned project,
- A summary of existing site conditions, site geology, and topography,
- Records of field tests outlining the materials encountered at the test locations,
- Results of laboratory tests performed to provide information regarding the engineering characteristics of the subsurface materials,
- Recommendations for earthwork, including the possible need for undercut and replacement,
- Recommendations for rock cut inclination,
- List of ALDOT Pay Items,
- Records of the observed distresses for existing pavement,
- Pavement thickness and milling recommendations,
- Recommendations for signal pole foundations, including axial capacity and L-Pile parameters,
- Recommendations for culvert foundations including recommended bearing pressures,
 and
- Groundwater concerns, if encountered.

CLIENT RESPONSIBILITIES

To assist with fulfilling our proposed scope of services, GTEC requests the following:

- Plans and Specifications: GTEC has been provided a map of the proposed alignment. GTEC requests the client send current and updated drawings as the project progresses. Documents should include as much information as possible including, but not limited to, dimensions, site layout, survey data, structural loadings, and grading plans.
- Field Work Scheduling and Site Access: GTEC understands the client will notify property owners prior to mobilization. GTEC requests the client provide any special instructions for site access, such as gates, property owner coordination, clearing concerns, or any other site access concerns limiting GTEC personnel and subcontractors from accessing the site.
- <u>Private Utilities</u>: GTEC requests the client send current private site utility drawings or coordinate approval of proposed test locations with property owner prior to field work.



FEE AND SCHEDULE

At this time, we propose our services described for a lump sum fee of \$51,879.00. Services not included in the scope can be added at our prevailing unit rates. We will schedule field activities upon receipt of this contract authorized by signature below and provide the planned dates of services. Final reports will be issued within eight to ten weeks of authorization. This proposal is valid if accepted within 60 days of issuance.

AUTHORIZATION

Date

To Authorize this Proposal, please sign below:

Should this proposal meet your objectives, please sign, date, and return. Signed authorization will constitute acceptance of the fee, schedule, and General Terms and Conditions, which are included with this proposal. Any modification to this proposal, the fee, schedule, or General Terms and Conditions must be accepted by both parties.

Printed Name/Title Company Name

Signature Billing Address

Accounts Payable Email Address

Principal Engineer



CLOSING REMARKS

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service and look forward to working with you on this project. If you have any questions regarding this proposal or would like to discuss the proposed scope and budget, please do not hesitate to contact GTEC.

Respectfully, GTEC

Log & Molafferty, E.I.

Staff Engineer

Attachments: General Terms and Conditions

Digital Attachment: Proposed Boring Location Plan

Project No.							
Project Name Old Big Cove	Road Wide	ening					
Description Old Big Cove	Road wide	ning fror	n Claudi	a Drive to	Sutton	Road	
Scope of Work Env., Survey.	Roadway,	ROW, Sa	anitary S	ewer			
Project Length 1.5 miles							
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephe	ens						
Engineering Consultant Barge Design	Solutions						
Linginizating Contounant Long- Long			FST	IMATED	MAN-D	AYS	
	1 1	Pro		Des		Enginee	r Tech.
SANITARY PLANS		Engi		Engi	neer	/ CA	DD D
	NO OF	DAYS/		DAYS/		DAYS/	
SHEET TITLE	SHEETS	SHEET	TOTAL	SHEET	TOTAL	SHEET	TOTAL
TITLE SHEET	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
INDEX SHEET	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00
PROJECT NOTE SHEET	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PLANS LEGEND	0.00					0.00	0.00
SUMMARY SHEET	0.00	0.00					
Main Summary	1.00	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.50	0.50
PLAN & PROFILE	1	0.20					
Gravity Sewer Plan & Profile	1.00	0.50	0.50	1.50	1.50	5.00	5.00
Force Main Plan & Profile	0.00						0.00
Force Main Plan & Fforme	0.00						0.00
TRAFFIC CONTROL	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.50	3,00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Traffic Control	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
UTILITY SHEETS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Utility Locations	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PIPE SIZING CALC./REPORT	T 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Drainage Basin Map	0.00						0.00
Hydraulic Data Spreadsheet	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
EROSION CONTROL		T 0.00	I 0.00	1 000	0.00	0.00	0.00
Erosion Control Layout	0.00						0.00
Erosion Control Details	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Pump Station				0.00	1 000	0.00	0.00
Site Plan	0.00						
Elevations	0.00						
Mechanical Plan	0.00						
Mechanical Sections	0.00						
Electrical Plan	0.00						
	0.00						
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DETAILS							
Standard Detail Sheets	0.00						
Special Details	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CROSS SECTIONS							
Cross Sections	0.00				0.00	0.00	
SUB-TOTAL	2.00	DEF LAS	0.75	5	1.75	5	5.50
REVIEW COMMENTS				All Comments			
30% Review	2.045	25/25	0.00		0.00		0.00
60% Review	MI JACK	90521	0.00		0.25		0.50
90% Review	建工程工	18 16	0.00)	0.2	5	0.50
**************************************						400 000	
Stormwater Permits	A Section	TY.E	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.00
Washington I direct			*				
Cost Estimates		Physical Co.	0.2	5	0.5		1.00
Design Hearing	10.15	- 100	0.0		0.0	0	0.00
SUB-TOTAL	- STEELE		0.2		1.0		2.00
JUB-TOTAL					-		
TOTALS	F. 257	201,20	1.0	0	2.7	5	7.5
TOTALS	100	-		-			-

Project No.				
Project Name Old Big Cove Road W	/idening			
Description Old Big Cove Road wi	idening from Clau	dia Drive to Su	tton Ro	oad
Scope of Work Env, Survey, Roadwa	y, ROW, Sanitary	Sewer		
Project Length 1.5 miles				
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens				
Engineering Consultant Barge Design Solution	ns			
Fee Proposal (S	anitary Plans			
PERSONNEL COST				
		Daily Rate		
		@ 8hrs/day	_	0.000.00
Project Engineer		\$ 2,200.00		2,200.00
Design Engineer		\$ 1,509.60		4,151.40
Engineer Tech. / CADD	7.50		\$	8,400.00
Clerical	0.00		\$	44.754.40
		Sub-Total	\$	14,751.40
SUB-CONSULTANTS (attach man-day & fee FROM ea	ach sub-consults	ant: show tota	I fee fo	or each here)
SUB-CONSULTANTS (attach man-day & fee FROM ea	acii sub-consult	int, snow tota	\$	8
			\$	=
			\$	-
Subconsultant Administration Expense (5%)			\$	=
ounconsultant Administration Expense (e.v.)		Sub-Total	\$	570
	Т	OTAL LABOR	\$	14,751.40

Project No.	
Project Name	Old Big Cove Road Widening
	Old Big Cove Road widening from Claudia Drive to Sutton Road
Scope of Work	Env, Survey, Roadway, ROW, Sanitary Sewer
Project Length	1.5 miles
C.O.H. Project Engineer	Jacob Stephens
Engineering Consultant	

			Engineer
	Project	Environment	Tech. /
Enviromental	Engineer	al Scientist	CADD
Task			
Field Reconnaissance		0.50	
Data Review	0.25	0.50	
Report Preparation	0.25		
Report Review	0.25	0.50	
Drawings	0.25	0.50	2.00
Final Report	0.25	0.50	1.00
T mar report	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTALS	1.25	3.00	12.00
TOTALO			

Project No.						
Project Name Old Big Cove	e Road Widening					
Description Old Big Cove	e Road widening fro	om Claudia	Drive to S	Sutton Road	<u> </u>	
Scope of Work Env, Survey						
Project Length 1.5 miles						
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Step						
Engineering Consultant Barge Desig						
Out-of-pock	et Expenses (E	nvirome	ental)			
PRINTING / REPRODUCTION COST					_	
		Sheets	Total	Cost per		
Type of printing/reproduction	# of Sets	per Set	Sheets	Sheet	_	Total
	0	0	0	\$ -	\$	\.
	0	0	0	\$ -	\$	
	0	0	0	\$ -	\$	
	0	0	0	\$ -	\$: ⊕:
	0	0	0	\$ -	\$	-
	0	0	0	\$ - oduction C	\$	
		Total i iii	шулсы	oudotton e		
Communication Cost (telephone, fax, etc.)				T A	Total
					\$	
						₩ 4-1
Postage Cost (overnight, stamps, etc.)					1 &	Total
					\$	
						Total
Other (provide description on next line)	V -: 050	torror modelo			\$	Total 1,500.00
Flagging, Mileage, Lodging, F	Per Diem, GPS equ	ipment			Φ	1,500.00
			L.4 France		S	1,500.00
	lotai	Out-of-poo	ket Expe	1562	Ψ	1,300.00
Comments:						

11/18/2025

City of Huntsville Engineering Division

Project No.				
Project Name Old Big Cove Road W	idening			
Description Old Big Cove Road wi	dening from Claudi	a Drive to Sutt	on Road	t c
Scope of Work Env, Survey, Roadway	, ROW, Sanitary S	ewer		
Project Length 1.5 miles				
C.O.H. Project Engineer Jacob Stephens				
Engineering Consultant Barge Design Solution	is			
Fee	Proposal (Fiel	d Survey)		
PERSONNEL COST				
ENSOUNCE GOOT		Daily Rate		
	Man-days	@ 8hrs/day		
Project Engineer		\$ 2,200.00	\$	2,750.00
Environmental Scientist		\$ 1,541.60	\$	4,624.80
Engineer Tech. / CADD	12.00	\$ 1,120.00	\$	13,440.00
Clerical	0.00	1 1	\$	-
		Sub-Total	\$	20,814.80
				100000000000
SUB-CONSULTANTS (attach man-day & fee FROM e	ach sub-consulta	nt; show tota	fee for	each here)
			\$	
			\$	
			\$	
			\$	
			\$	
			\$	
Subconsultant Administration Expense (5%)		Cub Total	\$	
		Sub-Total	φ	
	TO	OTAL LABOR	\$	20,814.80

ATTACHMENT 2 - ALABAMA IMMIGRATION ACT - REPORT OF OWNERSHIP FORM

	General Information. Please provide the following	General Information. Please provide the following information:						
6	Legal name(s) (include "doing business as", if applicable): Barge Design Solutions Inc. City of Huntsville current taxpayer identification number (if available): 62-0525827 (Please note that if this number has been assigned by the City and if you are renewing your business license, the number should be listed on the renewal form.)							
3								
	Type of Ownership. Please complete the un-shaded portions of the following chart by checking the appropriate box below and entering the appropriate Entity I.D. Number, if applicable (for an explanation of what an entity number is, please see paragraph C below):							
	Type of Ownership (check appropriate box)	Entity I. D. Number & Applicable State						
	☐ Individual or Sole Proprietorship	Ayby Kyrokeable						
	☐ General Partnership	Not Aggive able						
	☐ Limited Partnership (LP)	Number & State:						
	☐ Limited Liability Partnership (LLP)	Number & State:						
	☐ Limited Liability Company (LLC) (Single Member)	Number & State:						
	☐ LLC (Multi-Member)	Number & State:						
	☑ Corporation	Number & State: 850-762 Tennessee						
	Other, please explain:	Number & State (if a filing entity under state law):						
	available through the website of Alabama's Secretories foreign entity is not registered in this state please named called) assigned by the state of formation. Formation Documents. Please note that, with re-	egard to entities, the entity's formation documents, including articles or or applicable formation documents, as recorded in the probate records of the credit of the city, or (2) an Entity!						
	Please date and sign this form in the space prov	ided below and either write legibly or type your name under your signatur						
	If you are signing on behalf of an entity please in	Sert your thio do nom						
	Signature:	Fittle (if applicable). Office Administration						

ATTACHMENT 3 CITY OF HUNTSVILLE STANDARDS AND DESIGN GUIDES

- 1. City of Huntsville Standard Specifications for Construction of Public Improvements. Contract Projects, 1991.
- 2. City of Huntsville Engineering Standards, 1991.
- 3. City of Huntsville Design and Acceptance Manual for Force Mains and Pump Stations, 2011.
- 4. City of Huntsville Design and Acceptance Manual for Sanitary Sewers, 2011.
- 5. Alabama Department of Transportation Standard Specifications for Highway Construction, Current Edition.
- 6. City of Huntsville Subdivision Regulations, 1991.

ATTACHMENT 4 DESIGN REVIEWS

0% COMPLETE - PRE-DESIGN CONFERENCE

The ENGINEER shall meet with the OWNER at a 0% complete - Pre-Design Conference. The OWNER's representative (Project Engineer) will be introduced.

CONFERENCE FORMAT

The pre-design meeting will we initiated by the OWNER. The purpose of the conference will be to give the ENGINEER an opportunity to discuss the design of the PROJECT, to visit the PROJECT site, to receive copies of OWNER -furnished documents, if applicable, and to meet the OWNER'S Project Engineer and other personnel working on the PROJECT.

ATTENDEES: (Required)

- ENGINEER
- ALDOT (as appropriate for the type of project)
- Real Estate

- Landscape Management
- Utilities
- Traffic Engineering
- Planning

DISCUSSION TOPICS

- Authority of OWNERS representative (Written submittal made to the ENGINEER)
- Scope of Work
- Time Requirements
- Budget Restraints
- Testing Requirements
- Permit Responsibilities
- Design criteria
- LC&E requirements
- Plan Requirements
- Special Conditions
- Utility Project
 Notification and a list of all utilities that need to be contacted.

Tree Ordinance

REQUIRED SUBMITTALS TO THE PROJECT ENGINEER

- 1... A Certificate of Insurance for the ENGINEER and the ENGINEER's sub-consultants shall be submitted to the OWNER's PROJECT ENGINEER per Section 10.6.
- 2. Prior to the Pre-Design Conference, a completed draft design criteria document shall be prepared to the best of the ENGINEER'S ability and in conformance with his fee proposal and will serve as the basis of a discussion topic during the Pre-Design Conference. A final version of the design criteria based upon discussion during the meeting shall be prepared by the ENGINEER and distributed with the meeting minutes. A copy of a design criteria format may be found on the City of Huntsville web site at http://www.huntsvilleal.gov/engineering/index.php.
- 3. Within seven (7) calendar days of the 0% Complete Pre-Design Conference, the ENGINEER shall submit to the OWNER's Project Engineer two color copies and an electronic copy of a schedule in Microsoft Projects format showing the critical path and indicating the time frame for the required milestone events and submittals outlined in this document. The schedule shall support a PROJECT completion date in accordance with the Period of Services in Article 6. When approved, a baseline of the schedule shall be saved from which variances in the schedule can be measured and evaluated.

ATTACHMENT 4 DESIGN REVIEWS

30% COMPLETE - CONCEPTUAL DESIGN

This design review is to show the OWNER how the functional and technical requirements will be met, to indicate the ENGINEER's approach to the solution of technical problems, to show compliance with design criteria or to justify noncompliance and to provide an estimate of probable cost. A field review shall be conducted at this juncture with the OWNER's staff and the ENGINEER to review the proposed field alignment of the PROJECT.

CONFERENCE FORMAT

ATTENDEES: (Required)

- Real Estate
- Landscape Management
- Utilities
- Traffic Engineering
- Planning
- City of Huntsville Construction Project Manager
- City of Huntsville Inspector
- City of Huntsville Environmental Representative

DISCUSSION TOPICS:

- ENGINEER presents recommended design/solutions along with other options and alternatives considered.
- ENGINEER presents updates on progress of permitting requirements
- ENGINEER presents progress on coordination with other project participants such as the State
 of Alabama, sub consultants, etc.
- ENGINEER presents budgetary constraints

REQUIRED SUBMITTALS TO THE PROJECT ENGINEER

- 1. A preliminary list of all permits to be obtained with associated fees.
- 2. An updated schedule in Microsoft Projects format showing the critical path shall be submitted.
- 3. Two color copies and an electronic copy of an updated schedule in Microsoft Projects format showing the critical path shall be submitted.
- 4. One (1) complete set of all approved permits including Location, Character, and Extent.

<u>ATTACHMENT 4</u> DESIGN REVIEWS

60% COMPLETE - PRELIMINARY DESIGN CRITERIA

The review of the PROJECT at this point is primarily to insure that funding limitations are not being exceeded and to insure that the contract documents, design analysis and cost estimates are proceeding in a timely manner, and that the design criteria and previous review comments are being correctly interpreted. An additional review may be required by the OWNER to review changes proposed from previous submittals.

CONFERENCE FORMAT

ATTENDEES: (Required)

- Real Estate
- Landscape Management
- Utilities
- Traffic Engineering
- Planning
- City of Huntsville Construction Project Manager
- City of Huntsville Inspector
- City of Huntsville Environmental Representative

DISCUSSION TOPICS:

- Additional land acquisition needs, as required.
- Utility Project Notification and a list of all utilities that need to be contacted.
- Technical specifications for special construction items not covered under standard specifications or deviations from standard specifications.
- Update on progress of permitting requirements.
- Erosion control plan requirements, if required by the OWNER.
- Budget constraints.
- Progress on coordination with other project participants such as the City of Huntsville Real Estate Officer (Engineering Department), State of Alabama, sub consultants, etc.

REQUIRED SUBMITTALS TO THE PROJECT ENGINEER

- 1. One full size print copy and one ½ size print copy of all drawings that have incorporated previous comments shall be submitted. Plan/Profile drawings shall be 75% complete. Right-of way drawings shall be 100% complete at this submittal (reference Real Estate Division Plan Requirements Section entitled DRAWINGS, included at the end of this proposal)
- 2. An update to the schedule in Microsoft Projects format showing the critical path shall be submitted.
- 3. Unless determined to be inapplicable by the OWNER, Hydraulic reports 75% complete, shall be submitted.
- 4. Three (3) copies of preliminary plans for utilities shall be submitted.
- 5. Legal descriptions for takings shall be submitted. The information shall be 100% complete. (reference Real Estate Division Plan Requirements Section entitled DESCRIPTIONS, included at the end of this proposal)
- 6. Traffic Control Plan, if required. Plan shall be 60% complete at this submittal.
- 7. Detailed preliminary construction cost estimate shall be submitted.
- 8. Results of geotechnical investigations shall be submitted.
- 9. A list of comments made at the 30% review and a summary of each resolution.
- 10. Two color copies and an electronic copy of an update to the schedule in Microsoft Projects format showing the critical path shall be submitted.

ATTACHMENT 4 DESIGN REVIEWS

90% COMPLETE - FINAL REVIEW

The review of this submittal is to ensure that the design is in accordance with directions provided the ENGINEER during the design process.

CONFERENCE FORMAT

DISCUSSION TOPICS

Discussion topics will be handled open forum.

REQUIRED SUBMITTALS TO THE PROJECT ENGINEER

- 1. One full size print copy and one ½ size print copy of all drawings that have incorporated previous comments shall be submitted. Submittals include Plan/Profile drawings, Construction Details, Detailed cross-sections with cut and fill quantities and storm and sanitary sewer crossings, Erosion control plan, if required, Technical specifications, Right-of way drawings, Traffic Control Plan, Plans for Utilities, Signed Acceptance of Utility Project Notification Form by all affected parties, Design Calculations, and a final cost estimate. All submittals shall be 100% complete.
- 2. Any changes to Land Acquisition needs shall be identified and Legal descriptions for the changes shall be submitted.
- 3. A list of comments made at the 60% review and a summary of each resolution.
- 4. Calculations showing how quantities were determined for each bid item and how the item is to be measured in the field and paid. Three bound copies of corrected quantity calculations to match bid quantities. The following shall be required for each item:
 - Item Number
 - Item Description with standard specification used
 - Detailed calculation to include all measurements, conversion factors, and "standard" weights used
 - Final "calculated" amount and any "increased" amounts
 - Notes to include any deviation from referenced standard specifications

ATTACHMENT 4 DESIGN REVIEWS

100% COMPLETE - READY TO ADVERTISE

After the 90% review, the ENGINEER shall revise the construction documents by incorporating any comments generated during the previous design reviews. The ENGINEER shall prepare final hard copy contract specifications, prepare a bid form, and update the cost estimate as necessary.

ATTACHMENT 5 ENGINEER PERSONNEL FEE SCHEDULE (ARTICLE 7.1)

PLEASE REFERENCE PAGE 10 OF ATTACHMENT #1

ATTACHMENT 6 - PROGRESS REPORT (Article 8)

PROGRESS REPORT NO	FOR MONTH AND YEAR	
PROJECT	P	PROJECT NO.
DATE CITY'S PROJE		
CONSULTANT	CONSULTANT'S PRO	J. MAN.
CURRENT MONTH % COMPLETE:		
ATTACH A "SHOULD HAVE STARTED MICROSOFT PROJECTS THAT LISTS	TASKS REPORT" AND A " SALL ACTIVITY THAT IS BE	SLIPPING TASKS REPORT" FROM EHIND SCHEDULE.
THIRTY (30) DAYS AFTER THE DATE	OF THIS PROGRESS REF	
STATE WHAT ACTION IS BEING TAK	EN TO BRING PROJECT B	ACK TO SCHEDULE:
30% 60% 90% 100% "FINAL" INVOICE SUBMITTED SUBCONSULTANTS PAID IN FULL CONTRACTED COMPLETION DATE:		ACTUAL DATE
(These scheduled dates shall be agree Engineer and noted monthly on each p changed except by contract change or accompanied by a new project schedu	rogress report. The schedu der. Changes to the schedu	ne project (Attachment 4) with the Project led contract completion date shall not be led milestone submittal dates shall be S Project Engineer.)
UPDATED SCHEDULE ATTACHED? *If yes, send an electronic copy to the	YESNC	
COMMENTS		
This progress report (4 copies) shall be without a contract modification.	e submitted monthly. Sched	uled completion dates will not be extended
CERTIFICATION: I certify that the sta	ted information is true and a	ccurate to the best of my knowledge.
CONSULTANT DATE	CITY PROJEC	CT ENGINEER DATE

ATTACHMENT 7 - SUB CONSULTANTS ENGAGED BY THE ENGINEER (Article 9.2)

CONSULTANT NAME AND ADDRESS	DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES	FEE
SAIN Associates, Inc. 5021 Technology Drive, NW Suite #2 Huntsville, AL 35805	Land Surveying Services	\$97,260.00
GTEC, L.L.C. 4890 University Drive Suite 2 Huntsville, AL 35816	Geotechnical Engineering Study	\$51,879.00
	SUB-TOTAL	\$149,139.00
	5% Administrative Fee	\$7,456.95
	TOTAL	\$156,595.95

ATTACHMENT 8 - CONTRACT DOCUMENT REQUIREMENTS LIST

REQUIREMENT	SUBMIT TO	SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENT DATE	NUMBER OF COPIES	REFERENCE SECTION OF CONTRACT AND COMMENTS
Deviations from OWNER's standards.	OWNER	Prior to incorporating deviations.	2	Article 2.5
Products or materials specified by the ENGINEER that are available from only one source.	OWNER	Prior to 100% submittal,	2	Article 2.2
ADA grades, elevations and layout	OWNER	90% review, 100% complete	2	Article 2.6
Approval of ENGINEER's Request for Payment.	OWNER	Within ten (10) days of receipt of the request from the ENGINEER.	N/A	Article 3.4
Approval of ENGINEER submittals	OWNER	So as to cause no delay to the ENGINEER or the PROJECT.	N/A	Article 3.8
Change order changes that reduce construction requirements.	OWNER	Prior to authorizing a change.	N/A	Article 3.11
Any information pertaining to any claim.	OWNER	Immediately	2	Article 3.12
Information pertinent to the PROJECT, all criteria and full information as to OWNER's requirements, copies of all design and construction standards.	ENGINEER	So as to not delay the services of the ENGINEER.	2	Article 5.1, 5.2
Notification of delays.	ENGINEER; OWNER	Promptly	4	Article 6.1
ENGINEER's monthly invoices.	OWNER	Monthly	4	Article 8.1.1
Consultant progress report.	OWNER	Monthly	4	Article 8.1.1
Records, data, parameters, design calculations and other information.	OWNER	Cancellation of contract.	2	Article 9.7
Documentation, records of reimbursable expenses, record copies of all written communications, and any memoranda of verbal communications related to the PROJECT.	OWNER	Upon notice from the OWNER.	2	Article 9.4
Termination notification.	OWNER or ENGINEER	7 days prior to termination.	2	Article 9.10 & 9.11
Certificate of Insurance for ENGINEER.	OWNER	At 0% design conference	1	Article 10.2(B), 10.6, and Attachment 4.

Insurance cancellation, suspension, or reduction in coverage or limits.	OWNER	30 days prior to effective date except for cancellation which is 10 days notification.	1	Article 10.4(A)
Certificate of insurance for sub consultants/subcontractors.	OWNER	At 0% design conference.	1	Article 10.7
A schedule in Microsoft Projects format showing the critical path.	Project Engineer	Within 7 calendar days of Pre-design conference, 30% complete design review. 60% design review. Attachment 6	l hard; l digital	Attachment 4
Drawings.	Project Engineer	30% complete design review, 60% design review, 90% review, and 100% complete.	3	Attachment 4
Cost estimate,	Project Engineer	30% complete design review, 60% review, 90% review, and 100% complete.	3	Attachment 4
Hydraulic reports.	Project Engineer	60% design review.	2	Attachment 4
Preliminary plans for utilities.	Project Engineer	60% design review.	3	Attachment 4
Real Estate Deliverables	Project Engineer	60% design review, 90% review, 100% complete.	Reference Real Estate Division Plan Requirements	Attachment 4, 14 Real Estate Plan Requirements at end of this proposal document
Traffic Control plan.	Project Engineer	60% design review.	N/A	Attachment 4
Results of geotechnical investigations.	Project Engineer	30% design review.	2,	Attachment 4
Technical specifications.	Project Engineer	90% review, 100% complete.	N/A	Attachment 4
Relocation of Utilities	Project Engineer	0% review – list of all utilities that need to be contacted 60% review – from all affected parties 90% review – Signed Acceptance Utility Project Notification Form	2	Attachment 4, 10
Design Calculations	Project Engineer	90% review, 100% complete	1	Attachment 4
Digital copy of drawings.	Project Engineer	100% complete – 1 in .dgn format; 1 in .tiff or .pdf format	2	Attachment 4
Digital text files.	Project Engineer	100% complete.	1	Attachment 4
Bid Quantities.	Project Engineer	100% complete. Digital in Excel 2003 format and hard copy	3	Attachment 4
Permits and Permit Applications	Project Engineer	100% complete.	1	Attachment 4
Field notes.	Project Engineer	100% complete.	1	Attachment 4
Digital aerial photography,	Project Engineer	100% complete.	1	Attachment 4

Att 8 Page 3 of 3 12/04/2025

Progress Report	Project	30% complete design review,	4 hard; 1 digital	Attachment 4
(Art. 8)	Engineer	60% design review,	monthly	
		90% design review,		
		100% completion stage.		

ATTACHMENT 9 - REQUIREMENTS FOR DOCUMENT SUBMITTALS

DRAWINGS

All drawings shall be sized 24" x 36", unless otherwise approved by the OWNERS Project Engineer.

Title blocks shall as a minimum, contain the name of the project, date, city project number, and ENGINEER's name. The title block of drawings shall contain a space for the names of the preparer and the reviewer and/or checker. These blocks shall be signed on each submittal (See Attachment "11" for sample standard drawing format). Drawings shall contain alphanumeric revision designations. Drawings issued for review shall be issued with alpha revision designation and the revision letter shall be changed for each submittal containing drawing changes. Drawings issued for construction shall be issued with numeric designation at revision level "0" and described as "Issued for Construction" in the revision description block. Subsequent drawing changes require the revision level to be raised using successively higher numbers and the changes to be marked by circling and briefly described in a revision block.

All drawings shall be prepared in MicroStation .DGN format, unless otherwise approved by the City Engineer. Transmittal letters shall consist of a list of files being submitted, a description of the data in each file, and a level/layer schematic of each design file. DGN design files should have working units as follows: master units in US Survey feet, no sub-units, and 1,000 positional units. All data submitted shall use NAD83(2011) datum for horizontal control and NAVD88 (based upon latest Geoid) for vertical control. Since these surveys originate and terminate at points with datum adjusted Alabama State Plane Coordinates, all computed coordinates shall be datum adjusted NAD83(2011) Alabama State Plane Coordinates, U.S. Survey Foot, East Zone.

Unless otherwise specified by the Owners Project Engineer, all drawings for review submittals shall be full or half-size copies. All documents shall be clearly marked in a revision block indicating the applicable submittal milestone, i.e. 30%, 60%, 90%, etc.

OTHER DOCUMENTS

Submittals required by the State of Alabama for their review, bidding, etc., shall be of the size, form and numbers of copies as the state may require even though such submittals may differ from the submittals set forth as being required elsewhere in this Agreement.

Digital files shall be submitted by 4-3/4" CD ROM, DVD, 3 and 1/2 inch floppy disk, flash drive, or to the City of Huntsville F.T.P. site.

All print copies shall be first generation copies.

All text documents shall be prepared in Microsoft Word 2010 format.

All spreadsheets shall be in Microsoft Excel 2010 format.

All PDF files shall be searchable.

Schedules shall be in Microsoft Projects format, unless otherwise approved by the OWNERS Project Engineer.

Aerial photography files shall be in Intergraph (.COT) or (.tiff) format.

All mapping shall meet National Map Accuracy Standards unless otherwise noted. If National Map Accuracy Standards are not met, the accuracy of the map shall be identified to the Owners Project Engineer and on the maps derived from the aerial survey. National Map Accuracy Standards are shown below. This and other map standards are shown in Department of the Army, US Army Corps of Engineers standard, "EM 1110-1-1000, Engineering and Design - Photogrammetric Mapping."

All final drawings, specifications, plans, calculations, letters containing Engineering or Surveying recommendations or other Engineering or Land Surveying papers or documents involving the practice of engineering or land surveying as defined by Code of Alabama, Title 34, Chapter 11 shall be sealed, dated, and bear the signature of the person who prepared or approved them.

Working drawings or other documents shall contain a statement to the effect "Preliminary-Not for construction, recording purposes or implementation."


ATTACHMENT 10 – UTILITY PROJECT NOTIFICATION FORM

NAME:(Utility Name)	
PROJECT NAME:	PROJECT NUMBER:
CONSULTING ENGINEER:(Name)	
ENGINEERING REPRESENTATIVE	PHONE:
I have reviewed design drawings or other in	formation as available, and:
DO	DO NOT
have facilities that will require relocation. If relocati calendar days from the Notice to Proceed, is anticip	on is required, a construction duration of eated to be required for relocation.
LIST NAME(S) OF OTHER UTILITY(S) that share pol starting your work:	es or facilities that have to be relocated prior to <u>YOU</u>
NAME OF UTILITY:	
NAME OF UTILITY:	
NAME OF UTILITY:	
OTHER:	
COMMENTS:	
BY:AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE	
FIELD CONTACT PERSON:	PHONE:
DATE:	

ATTACHMENT 11

Subspires purces.	THLE SHEET NOTAMADON ONE SMAN TOBLORY ON OF HUNSVILE ON OF HUNSVILE	200 W 000 000 000 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
PROJECT INFORMATION	CHTY OF HUNTSVILLE HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA (PROJECT NO XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	INDEX OF DRAWINGS THE STATE OF A TRANSPORT OF TAGED ON COMMERCE SIGLE OF TAGED ON COMMERCE SIGLE OF TAGED ON THE SET OF THE SECOND SHOPE IN THE SECOND SHOPE IN THE SET OF THE SECOND SHOPE IN THE SECOND SHOPE I

ATTACHMENT 12 SAMPLE



...\easementtemplate_V7.dgn 3/17/2006 12:11:14 PM

ATTACHMENT 13

United States National Map Accuracy Standards

With a view to the utmost economy and expedition in producing maps which fulfill not only the broad needs for standard or principal maps, but also the reasonable particular needs of individual agencies, standards of accuracy for published maps are defined as follows:

- 1. Horizontal accuracy. For maps on publication scales larger than 1:20,000, not more than 10 percent of the points tested shall be in error by more than 1/30 inch, measured on the publication scale; for maps on publication scales of 1:20,000 or smaller, 1/50 inch. These limits of accuracy shall apply in all cases to positions of well-defined points only. Well-defined points are those that are easily visible or recoverable on the ground, such as the following: monuments or markers, such as bench marks, property boundary monuments; intersections of roads, railroads, etc.; corners of large buildings or structures (or center points of small buildings); etc. In general what is well defined will be determined by what is plottable on the scale of the map within 1/100 inch. Thus while the intersection of two road or property lines meeting at right angles would come within a sensible interpretation, identification of the intersection of such lines meeting at an acute angle would obviously not be practicable within 1/100 inch. Similarly, features not identifiable upon the ground within close limits are not to be considered as test points within the limits quoted, even though their positions may be scaled closely upon the map. In this class would come timber lines, soil boundaries, etc.
- 2. **Vertical accuracy,** as applied to contour maps on all publication scales, shall be such that not more than 10 percent of the elevations tested shall be in error more than one-half the contour interval. In checking elevations taken from the map, the apparent vertical error may be decreased by assuming a horizontal displacement within the permissible horizontal error for a map of that scale.
- 3. The accuracy of any map may be tested by comparing the positions of points whose locations or elevations are shown upon it with corresponding positions as determined by surveys of a higher accuracy. Tests shall be made by the producing agency, which shall also determine which of its maps are to be tested, and the extent of the testing.
- 4. **Published maps meeting these accuracy requirements** shall note this fact on their legends, as follows: "This map complies with National Map accuracy Standards."
- 5. **Published maps whose errors exceed those aforestated** shall omit from their legends all mention of standard accuracy.
- 6. When a published map is a considerable enlargement of a map drawing (manuscript) or of a published map, that fact shall be stated in the legend. For example, "This map is an enlargement of a 1:20,000-scale map drawing," or "This map is an enlargement of a 1:24,000-scale published map."
- 7. To facilitate ready interchange and use of basic information for map construction among all Federal mapmaking agencies, manuscript maps and published maps, wherever economically feasible and consistent with the uses to which the map is to be put, shall conform to latitude and longitude boundaries, being 15 minutes of latitude and longitude, or 7.5 minutes, or 3-3/4 minutes in size.

U.S. BUREAU OF THE BUDGET

ATTACHMENT 14

ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT - REAL ESTATE DIVISION PLAN REQUIREMENTS

DRAWINGS:

Individual Parcels

- Each individual parcel 8 ½" x 14" (dgn or dxf format)
- Show Calculations
 - Before
 - After
 - Taking
- All Parcels shall be closed shapes (polygons).
- Show Existing and Proposed Right-of-Way on each individual parcel map.
- Property Ownership

Overall Project Land Acquisition Maps

- Total project drawing in dgn or dxf format
- Indicate the following:
 - Stationing on Centerline
 - > Existing Right-of-Way
 - Proposed Right-of-Way
 - Existing Easements
 - Proposed Easements
 - > Existing Pavement
 - Proposed Pavement/Sidewalks/Structures
 - Existing Structures
 - > Property Ownership

Color Standards	(SAMPLE)

Description	Color	<u>Line Style</u>	<u> 1 ype</u>
Existing ROW	Red	Medium Dashed	
Proposed ROW	Red	Solid	Closed Polygon
Existing Easements	Orange	Medium Dashed	
Proposed Easements	Orange	Solid	Closed Polygon
TCE	Pink	Solid	Closed Polygon

DESCRIPTIONS:

- Microsoft Word on 3.5" Diskette or CD
- Each Description shall be complete and independent (separate file).
- Hard Copies signed and stamped by PLS.

GENERAL:

- P.K. Nails or other permanent stationing markings shall be required.
- Re-staking of right-of-way or easements may be required (See Article 4).
- All survey plats to be on Alabama State Plane Datum. Strip Maps shall indicate at least 2 monuments in place with Alabama State Plane Coordinate values shown on each.
- Parcel plats and legal descriptions shall indicate the Alabama State Plane Coordinate NAD83 Alabama East Zone
 Value of the point of beginning.

ATTACHMENT 15 - GIS BASE MAP

DESIGN LEVEL	CONTENTS	LINE CODE	COLOR	WEIGHT	TEXT SIZE	FONT	CELL NAME
1	State Plane Coordinate Grid	0	0	0	20	0	
2	Benchmarks	0	0	0			
3	Private Street Text	0	105	0	20	0	
3	Street Text	0	3	0	20 (or 18)	0	
4	Street R/W	7	0	0			
5	Street Centerline	7	0	0			
6	Street Pavement	0	3	0			
6	Proposed Street Pavement	3	16	0			
6	Private Streets	0	105	0			
6	Proposed Private Road	3	105	0			
7	Parking Lots	1	3	1			
7	Private Lots used as Roads	1	105	1			
8	Secondary RoadsPrivate	2	105	0			
8	Secondary Roads	2	3	0			
8	Trails	3	3	0			
9	Secondary Roads/Trails Text	0	3	0	20	0	
10	Sidewalks	5	3	0			
11	Bridges/Culverts/Paved	0	0	0			
1 1	Ditches	V	0	Ů			
12	Hydrology - Major	6	1	0			
	Hydrology - Minor, Ditches	7	1	0			
12		0	1	0	25	23	
13	Hydrology - Text Tailings & Quarries. Athletic	0	i	0	1 - 20		
14	Fields/Text, misc. areas	0	' -	Ů			
15	Greenways	3	48	0			
	Speed Tables	0	3	0			TCALM
16	Railroad Tracks (Patterned)	0	2	0			RR
17	Railroad Tracks (Fatterned) Railroad Text	0	2	0	25	0	
18	Railroad R/W	2	2	0			
19		0	5	0			P POLE
20	Utility Poles (Cell)	3	5	0			
21	Utility Easements	0	5	1			
22	Utility Text		3	1			
23	Geographic Names	0	0	0	-		
24	Building Structures	0	1	0	10		
24	Pools and Text	0	0	0	10		STRUCT
24	Future Site of Structures	2		0			STRCEX
24	Existing Structures (exact	2	0	U			Birceir
	location and shape unknown)		6	1	30	1	
25	Property Lines/ refuge bdy	6		0	30	+ -	
26	Cadastral Polygons	6	6				
27	Ownership Text	0	6	1	10	1	11
28	Cemeteries/Text	4	6	0	10	0	-
29	Lot Numbers				30	0	
30	Block Numbers		-	-		0	
31	Addition Names	0	0	0	35		
32	Open		-				
33	Lot Ticks						-
34	Lot Lines/Property Lines	6	6	0	401	-	TREES
35	Trees/Hedge Rows	0	6	0	AS=1	1	INCES

37	2' Topo Contour						
38	5' Topo Contour	0	7	0			
39	25' Major Topo Contour	0	7	0			
40	X Spot Elevation	0	7	0			
41	FEMA Monuments/Labels	0	3/0	0	18	11	FEMA
42	Quarter Sections						
43	Section Lines	0	5	0			
44	Features	0	2	0			
44	Cell Towers	0	12	0	AS=1		CELTWE
45	Fences (Pattern)	0	8	0	AS=1		FENCE
46	Format/Legend	0	0	0			Limleg Madleg
47	Mass Points	0	7	2			
48	Break Lines	0	7	2			
49	Open						
50	Billboards	0	37	1			BBOARI
51	Sanitary Sewer	0		3			
52	Sanitary Sewer Text						
53	Storm Water Features	0		3			
54	Storm Water Text						
55	Open						
56	Property Address	0	1	0			
57	Text Tag for Buildings	0	1	0	10-20	1	
58	One Way Arrows		3	1			
59	Open						
60	Open						
61	Open						
62	Monuments for Setup (point cell)						
63	Open						

ATTACHMENT 16 - REQUIRED DELIVERABLES

Checklist must be submitted at 100% review and with final invoice.

This is a submittal only. Return this sheet with submittal

YES	NO	REQU	IRED SUBMITTALS TO THE PROJECT ENGINEER
		1.	Two (2) sets of complete construction drawing prints sized 24" x 36" sealed and marked "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION". Drawings information shall be referenced to Alabama State Plane Coordinate system, NAD1983 Alabama East Zone as described in the Code of Alabama (1975), Section 35-2-1. Surveys shall be tied to a minimum of two accepted GPS monuments or one GPS tie point plus an astronomic observation to determine grid north or GPS Survey.
		2.	One (1) Micro station digital and One (1) digital file in either tiff or .pdf format of construction drawings (must be signed and sealed) – sized 11" x 17".
		3,	Two (2) sets of right-of-way drawing prints sized 24" x 36" sealed and marked "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION". Drawings information shall be referenced to Alabama State Plane Coordinate system. NAD1983 Alabama East Zone
		4.	One (1) Micro station digital file of right-of-way drawings.
		5.	Two (2) print sets of 8-1/2" x 11" legal descriptions for right-of-way (REVISED SETS ONLY)
		6,	One (1) digital text file of legal descriptions for right-of-way (REVISED FILE ONLY)
		7	One (1) print copy of Final Construction Cost Estimate.
		8,	One (1) digital spread sheet file of Final Construction Cost Estimate
		9.	Three (3) printed and bound copies of corrected quantity calculations to match Final Bid Quantities.
		10.	One (1) digital spread sheet file (Excel 2003 format) of Final Bid Quantities
		11.	Two (2) print sets of contract specifications.
		12.	One (1) digital text file of contract specifications.
		13.	One (1) complete set of signed and sealed calculations.
		14.	One (1) complete set of permits for COH signature and Engineer's submittal to include but not limited to USACE, ADEM NPDES NOI, ETC. This package will also include CBMPP, ALDOT Maintenance, ROW and utility permit Applications for ALDOT Funded Projects as required.
		15,	One (1) complete set of all field notes.
		16.	One (1) copy of digital aerial photography obtained for this PROJECT in (.tif) format, as necessary.
		17.	Utility Project Notification forms and a list of all utilities that need to be contacted.
			Engineer